

# Ontogenetic shifts in feeding habits of orangemouth weakfish (*Cynoscion xanthulus*): From estuarine benthic feeder to marine nektonic top predator

Víctor M. Muro-Torres<sup>1</sup>  | Felipe Amezcua<sup>2</sup>  | Lucinda Green<sup>3</sup>  | Jorge Payan<sup>4</sup>  |  
Eduardo F. Balart-Páez<sup>5</sup>  | Felipe Amezcua-Linares<sup>6</sup> 

<sup>1</sup>Conacyt-Centro de Investigaciones Biológicas del Noroeste, La Paz, Mexico

<sup>2</sup>Instituto de Ciencias del Mar y Limnología, Unidad Académica Mazatlán, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Mazatlán, Mexico

<sup>3</sup>International Master in Marine Biological Resources, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

<sup>4</sup>Facultad de Ciencias del Mar, Universidad Autónoma de Sinaloa, P. Claussen s/n, Centro, Mazatlán, Mexico

<sup>5</sup>Centro de Investigaciones Biológicas del Noroeste, La Paz, Mexico

<sup>6</sup>Instituto de Ciencias del Mar y Limnología, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México. Cto. Exterior s/n, C.U., Ciudad de México, Mexico

## Correspondence

Felipe Amezcua, Instituto de Ciencias del Mar y Limnología, Unidad Académica Mazatlán, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Mazatlán, Mexico.  
Email: [famezcua@ola.icmyl.unam.mx](mailto:famezcua@ola.icmyl.unam.mx)

## Funding information

Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología, Grant/Award Number: PhD grant; Instituto de Ciencias del Mar y Limnología, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México

## Abstract

Orangemouth weakfish is a highly important commercial and game fish species in the Tropical Eastern Pacific. However, studies assessing changes in its trophic ecology relevant to size, habitat (estuarine or marine), sex, and season are non-existent. We assessed its feeding habits and trophic position (TP) according to the aforementioned factors. Its dietary breadth was composed of 33 types of prey. The feeding habits and isotopic values ( $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  and  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ ) registered differences between sizes and habitat, indicating ontogenetic changes in habitat as well as TP, as small organisms (TP 3.1) inhabited the estuarine area, feeding on benthic and demersal organisms, whilst large individuals (TP 4.1) were found in the marine environment, preying on nektonic fauna. No differences were found according to sex or season. The isotopic niche showed that the different sizes of this species are generalist consumers with a clear separation between them and between habitats, as estuarine juvenile and preadult organisms have a larger isotopic niche than adult marine organisms, being an opportunist tertiary estuarine consumers during their early stages, and a top marine predator during the adult phase.

## KEYWORDS

carbon exchange, habitat use, stable isotopes, stomach content analysis, trophic ecology

## 1 | INTRODUCTION

Knowledge of the different resources consumed by fish species, along with associated spatiotemporal variations of prey consumption, allows for the comprehension of resource use in an ecosystem, especially when the species are of commercial importance.

This information permits a better understanding of the effect of natural and/or anthropogenic alterations in the exploited species (Koen-Alonso, 2009), and is also the basis for research on the possible effects of climate change on the displacement and abundance of estuarine fish, which in terms allows achieving sustainable use of fishery resources (Araújo et al., 2018).

This is an open access article under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes.

© 2023 The Authors. *Marine Ecology* published by Wiley-VCH GmbH.

However, there is currently a lack of information regarding trophic interactions of fish species from coastal and estuarine areas of the Tropical Eastern Pacific (Barletta & Lima, 2019; Bisi et al., 2012; López-Vila et al., 2019). Specifically, in the Gulf of California, information on the trophic ecology and ontogenetic niche shift of orangemouth weakfish (*Cynoscion xanthurus*), a species with economic importance, is missing. This species is native to the coastal ecosystems of the Gulf of California and the Mexican Pacific, and is one of the most abundant species with an important role in local fisheries, due to its large size, abundance, and quality of meat (Robertson & Allen, 2006). The fishery for this species is in 17th place in terms of weight and economic income of the 55 fisheries registered in Mexico (<http://www.conapesca.sagarpa.gob.mx>).

In general, there is little information on the biological aspects of this species, which mostly comes from its introduction to an artificial hypersaline body of water; the Salton Sea in California (Caskey et al., 2007; Riedel et al., 2002; Riedel & Costa-Pierce, 2002). From these studies it is known that adult orangemouth weakfish can grow up to 65 cm, have an optimal salinity range of 33–37 g/l, spawn during the summer with a sex ratio close to 1:1., are top carnivores, and principally inhabited the open water areas of the sea, whilst the juveniles remained close to the shore.

There is only one study of this species from its native distribution; undertaken in one of the systems studied in this work from 1977 to 1978 (Díaz-González & Soto, 1988). In that study, only estuarine fish were analysed, and the principal prey items were estuarine fish, hydrozoa, and crustaceans, specifically penaeid shrimps. No attempt was made to differentiate the orangemouth weakfish into different size classes or to compare the feeding habits with specimens from the marine area adjacent to the system.

However, for other similar species of the Sciaenidae family, several authors have observed ontogenetic shifts in diet, because they increase the efficiency and capacity to capture larger prey as they grow (Blasina et al., 2015, 2016; Dos Santos et al., 2018; García, 2007; Sardiña & Cazorla, 2005; Sardiña & Lopez Cazorla, 2005), as well as habitat shifts from juvenile and subadults inhabiting estuarine systems, to adults inhabiting the coastal area outside the system (Ferreira et al., 2016, 2018). Consequently, larger individuals usually occupy higher trophic levels as they increase the range of prey size consumed by adding larger prey to their diets (Scharf et al., 2000), which has also higher mobility, as they change from benthic to nektonic predators (Ferreira et al., 2018).

Based on these results, it seems that this species exhibits ontogenetic variations in its diet, but also, that the adult organisms have a marine affinity as opposed to juveniles that seem to stay in estuarine and coastal areas (Caskey et al., 2007; Ferreira et al., 2016, 2018; Riedel & Costa-Pierce, 2002). If this is the case, new information would be available for a proper management strategy for this species, as the juveniles would be estuarine organisms looking for the refuge and feeding resources that these sites offer (Braverman, 2011), whilst adult fish would move to marine environments.

With this in mind, we evaluated the length structure and intra-specific variation in the trophic ecology of the orangemouth

weakfish in coastal and marine ecosystems of the Gulf of California, with the working hypothesis that this species exhibits ontogenetic changes in habitat and feeding habits as they grow, with juvenile fish inhabiting the estuarine area and feeding principally on estuarine fish and macroinvertebrates, and adults inhabiting the marine area and preying predominantly on nekton. These changes will be reflected in the trophic levels and isotopic niches, that is, the isotopic space ( $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  &  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ ).

## 2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1 | Area of study and sample collection

Orangemouth weakfish individuals were collected in the coastal zone of the south-eastern Gulf of California from August 2015 to October 2017, at bi-monthly intervals (Figure 1) at the estuarine systems of Teacapan and Huizache-Caimanero, both inside the systems and along the coastal areas outside the system at depths up to 10 m. The organisms were captured with gillnets with a mesh size of 3.5 inches in the coastal area and the channels of the estuarine systems, with a fishing gear operating time of 20 min. A cast net with a mesh size of 0.6 inches was used to capture individuals in shallow areas inside the estuarine systems. In the laboratory, total length (TL) ( $\pm 0.1$  cm) and weight (Ohaus digital scale: 0.1–2000 g  $\pm 0.05$ ) were recorded for all specimens. Organisms were preserved on ice after capture and were frozen at  $-20^{\circ}\text{C}$  upon arrival at the laboratory.

### 2.2 | Sample processing

In the laboratory, specimens were dissected and sex was determined macroscopically. Stomachs were removed and used to analyse the prey composition through stomach content analysis (SCA), and dorsal white muscle tissue from each specimen was collected to determine their recently consumed and assimilated food using stable isotope analysis (SIA).

SIA has aided in reconstructing species diets, estimating trophic positions (TPs), elucidating resource acquisition and allocation patterns, characterising feeding niches, and constructing food webs (Newsome et al., 2010). Furthermore, SIA can reveal ontogenetic shifts in consumer diet, movement patterns between habitats, species migration, and connectivity, whilst contributing to our understanding of fish population dynamics (Hobson & Wassenaar, 2018; Jara-Marini et al., 2009).  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  exhibits a considerable degree of enrichment per trophic level, allowing, with appropriate isotopic baselines and trophic fractionation, for the estimation of the trophic positioning of an organism (Post, 2002), whilst  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  outlines the origins of organic matter (Wissel & Fry, 2005).

When SIA and SCA are used together, it is possible to also know the taxonomic and size composition of diets and to clarify predator-prey interactions in complex systems where species have diverse consumption patterns that are difficult to identify from SIA alone




FIGURE 1 Map of the studied area in the SE Gulf of California, México. Stars indicate sampling stations in the marine zone, and dots indicate sampling stations in the estuarine zone.

(Layman et al., 2007). Its combined use improves our understanding of the feeding ecologies and functional roles of fish species and helps to clarify food web structures (Parkyn et al., 2001).

### 2.3 | Feeding habits (stomach content analysis)

To identify the different size groups of orangemouth weakfish, and therefore determine ontogenetic changes in its diet and trophic levels, length-frequency distributions were examined employing kernel density estimators (KDE; Silverman, 1986), using a Gaussian function with the optimal bandwidth, which results in figures that are smoother than histograms, allowing easy recognition of multimodality (cohorts) in young and adult fish species (Sanvicente-Añorve et al., 2003). The univariate kernel density estimator used is defined as

$$\hat{f}(x) = \frac{1}{nh} \sum_{i=1}^n K\left(\frac{x - X_i}{h}\right),$$

where

$\hat{f}(x)$  = density estimation of the variable  $x$

$n$  = number of observations

$h$  = bandwidth

$X_i$  = length of the  $i$ -th fish specimen

$K(\bullet)$  = a smooth, symmetric kernel function integrating into one

The optimal bandwidth  $h$  selected was Sheather–Jones, because, with multimodal data, it dramatically outperforms Silverman's rule of thumb (Sheather & Jones, 1991). The resulting density distributions were rescaled to a smoothed frequency scale and decomposed into their Gaussian components. Each component's means and the standard deviation (corresponding to dominant modes or cohorts) were then obtained. The KDE procedure was performed in RStudio Version 1.2.1335.

A randomised cumulative prey model was used to determine whether the sample size was adequate to describe the diet of each size class of the orangemouth weakfish. To do this, the prey species richness was estimated for each size class using the Chao1 estimator:

$$S_{\text{Chao1}} = S_{\text{obs}} + \frac{f_1(f_1 - 1)}{2(f_2 + 1)_1},$$

where  $S$  is the number of species,  $n$  is the number of prey items taken from the stomachs,  $f_1$  is the number of species represented by exactly one individual (singletons) in the reference sample, and  $f_2$  is the number of species represented by exactly two individuals (doubletons) (Chao & Chiu, 2016). Chao1 estimator corrects the observed richness  $S_{\text{obs}}$  by adding a term based on the number of species represented in a single abundance sample by only one individual (singletons), by two (doubletons), or by a few individuals. It provides a heuristic, intuitive “stopping rule” for biodiversity sampling: no additional species are expected to be found when all species in the sample are represented by at least two individuals (or samples) (Gotelli & Colwell, 2001). If the number of observed prey species falls within the confidence intervals of the model, the number of stomachs analysed was considered sufficient in describing the dietary habits of the predator studied. This was estimated using the function ChaoSpecies in the free R package SpadeR ([http://chao.stat.nthu.edu.tw/wordpress/software\\_download/](http://chao.stat.nthu.edu.tw/wordpress/software_download/)).

Stomach contents were identified under a stereoscopic microscope. Prey items were typically identified to the family level unless partial digestion impeded this; they were then identified to the lowest taxonomic level possible. If items were too digested to be counted but were still recognisable as belonging to a large taxonomic group, they were described as “remains” of this category and were weighed together. If prey items were not whole or nearly whole, individual numbers were based on the identification of countable parts, such as claws and legs for crustaceans, otoliths for fishes, and beaks for cephalopods, taking into account the size and shape to determine that they came from the same individual/species. Upon identification, prey items were counted and weighed (g), and when possible, a sample of muscle tissue from each prey item was collected to determine their trophic level using SIA.

To quantitatively express the importance of various prey items in the diet of the orangemouth weakfish we used the Prey-Specific Index of Relative Importance (%PSIRI), which is the mathematically correct version of %IRI, achieving its original intent of promoting consistency and facilitating comparisons among studies, and to obtain a robust estimate of the relative importance of the prey (Brown et al., 2012). This index is calculated with the formula:

$$\text{PSIRI} = \frac{\%FO_i \times (\%PN_i + \%PW_i)}{2},$$

where  $\%PN_i$  and  $\%PW_i$  are the abundance (by counts or weights) of prey category  $i$  in the stomach samples, and  $FO_i$  is the frequency of occurrence of each prey  $i$  in all stomachs.

Dietary similarities among sex, size (small and large), habitat (estuarine and marine), and season, based on the seasons proposed by Amezcua et al. (2019), were tested using a permutational MANOVA (PERMANOVA) and a principal coordinates analysis (PCO; Anderson et al., 2008) to test the  $H_0$  that the feeding habits of this species

changed ontogenetically and between estuarine areas and marine environments, and possibly between sex and climatic seasons. To do this a matrix was constructed that included orangemouth weakfish specimens in the columns, prey items as rows, and PSIRI as values. The data were square root transformed to reduce the effect of very abundant prey on the analysis whilst retaining the quantitative nature of the data and transformed to a resemblance matrix using Bray Curtis similarity as a resemblance measure.

## 2.4 | Stable isotopes analysis

To obtain isotopic data on the orangemouth weakfish and its prey, muscle aliquots of these species were placed in vials with Teflon lids and dried for 24h in a dry freezer at a temperature and pressure of  $-45^\circ\text{C}$  and 24 to  $27 \times 10^{-3}$  mbar, respectively. Samples were powdered in an agate mortar, and 1-mg sub-samples were weighed and stored in tin capsules ( $8 \times 5$  mm). The  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values of carbon and nitrogen isotope composition were determined by the Stable Isotope Laboratory at the University of California at Davis, USA using an Isotope Ratio Mass Spectrometer (IRMS, 20–20 mass spectrometer, PDZEuropa, Scientific Sandbach, UK) with a 0.2‰ precision for both elements. Stable isotope values ( $\delta$ ) were calculated using the equation:  $\delta (\%) = (R_{\text{sample}}/R_{\text{standard}} - 1) \times 1000$ , where  $R = {}^{15}\text{N}/{}^{14}\text{N}$  or  ${}^{13}\text{C}/{}^{12}\text{C}$ . The  $R_{\text{standard}}$  is relative to international standards, the Air and V-PDB (Vienna PeeDee Belemnite) for N and C, respectively.

Mean values of  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  for the orangemouth weakfish were compared using the same factors used for the multivariate analyses (i.e., sex, size, habitat, and season) using a generalised linear model (GLM):

$$y = \beta_0 + \beta_1(x_1) + \beta_2(x_2) + \beta_3(x_3) + \dots + \beta_n(x_n) + \epsilon,$$

where  $\beta_0$  is the interception,  $\beta_{1,2,n}$  are the slopes for the predictor variables, and  $\epsilon$  is a randomised error. The analysis was implemented in R (R Core Team, 2013).

## 2.5 | Trophic position

Based on the obtained isotopic values we estimated the TP of the different size classes of the orangemouth weakfish in R using the Bayesian package tRophicPosition (Quezada-Romegialli et al., 2018), employing the “twoBaselinesFull” model, because it recognises the heterogeneity of ecosystems, by including two different baselines, for example, pelagic and benthic. The tRophicPosition model includes isotopic variation in the baseline indicator, the consumer, and the trophic discrimination to provide a robust estimation of consumer TP at the population level. The model was run with 2000 iterations and 2 chains (dnorm(4,  $SD \pm 0.1$ )), and the trophic level of baselines (lambda) of 1 was chosen. We included isotopic carbon and nitrogen data of phytoplankton and detritus (estuarine and marine) to provide pelagic and benthic

baselines, respectively. We use the trophic enrichment factor (TEF) for fish proposed by Hoen et al. (2014) based on data on diet isotope ratios (termed the “Diet-Dependent Discrimination Factor”, DDDF) of  $0.75 \pm 0.10\%$  for  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  and  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  of  $0.75 \pm 0.11$ .

## 2.6 | Trophic spectrum

The bayesian method SIBER (Stable Isotope Bayesian Ellipses in R) was used to define the trophic amplitude among the different factors (season, habitat, sex, and size class) of the orangemouth weakfish as a measure of their isotopic resource use area at the population level. This method is based on the two-dimensional isotopic space of  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ , and assessed using Bayesian analysis of standard ellipses; unlike the Euclidean methods, this analysis can incorporate uncertainties such as sampling biases and small sample sizes into niche metrics (Layman et al., 2007). We used Monte Carlo simulations to correct the bivariate ellipses ( $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ ) surrounding the data points in the 95% confidence interval for the distributions of both stable isotopes (Jackson et al., 2011). The results for the orangemouth weakfish were plotted in  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  versus  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  diagrams to analyse differences in diet and TP between the different factors using a standard ellipse area (SEAc), which in this case is the small sample size corrected version.

Additionally, two-dimensional ( $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ ) probabilistic (95%) regions for each of the groups identified from Ward's clustering were developed using a Bayesian framework in the package “nicheROVER” (Swanson et al., 2015). This package uses a probabilistic method to calculate niche regions and pairwise niche overlap using multidimensional niche indicator data. The niche region is defined as the joint probability density function of the multidimensional niche indicators at a user-defined probability alpha (in this study 95%). Uncertainty is accounted for in a Bayesian framework, and the method can be extended to three or more indicator dimensions. It provides directional estimates of niche overlap, accounts for species-specific distributions in multivariate niche space, and produces unique and consistent bivariate projections of the multivariate niche region (Swanson et al., 2015).

The  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  and  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values were used to estimate the contribution of each food item in the orangemouth weakfish diet. Bayesian isotopic mixing models were generated with R software, using the Stable Isotope Mixing Models in R “SIMMR” (Parnell & Inger, 2019). SIMMR package is an upgrade to the SIAR package and is designed to solve mixing equations for stable isotopic data within a Bayesian framework. This new version contains a more sophisticated mixing model, a simpler user interface, and more advanced plotting features. It requires a minimum of three input objects: the consumers or mixtures, the source means, and the source standard deviations. Optionally, you can also add correction data (also called TEFs) represented again as means and standard deviations, and concentration dependence values. This model estimates the probability distribution of the contribution of the prey to a mixture and also evaluates the uncertainty associated with the isotopic values of the prey and predator (Parnell & Inger, 2019).

The results of SIMMR analysis are reported as percentage distributions ranging from 0% to 99%, where the minimum and maximum values are used to determine the importance of prey in the diet (Madigan et al., 2012). To increase the discriminatory power of the isotope-mixing model, it has been recommended the use of up to six discriminated sources for C and N isotopes (Phillips et al., 2014). Therefore, we used up to six main prey items for each size class of the orangemouth weakfish to capture at least 90% of the diet to determine their relative contributions to their diet.

## 3 | RESULTS


A total of 224 organisms of orangemouth weakfish were collected, with a range of sizes from 6.6 to 39.5 cm TL. The KDE procedure showed three cohorts for the TL of orangemouth weakfish: <12.5 cm (mean 8.47 cm  $\pm$  1.1 SD,  $N = 38$ ) corresponding to juveniles, 12.5 to 20.8 cm (mean 14.6 cm  $\pm$  3.7 SD,  $N = 39$ ) corresponding likely to preadults, and >20.8 cm (mean 25.7 cm  $\pm$  2.1 SD,  $N = 147$ ) corresponding likely to adults. Considering that the largest estuarine individual caught was 19.6 cm, the upper limit was lowered to 19.7 cm corresponding to the maximum size for the fish caught in the estuarine areas, and only two sizes were considered for the analyses, small for individuals with sizes up to 19.7 cm and caught exclusively in the estuarine area ( $N = 72$ ) and large for individuals larger than 19.7 cm and caught in the marine area ( $N = 152$ ; Figure 2). The Chao1 model of the richness of prey items for both orangemouth weakfish sizes indicated that the number of stomachs analysed was sufficient to describe its diet in the studied area (Figure 3).

### 3.1 | Feeding habits (stomach content analysis)


The dietary spectrum of orangemouth weakfish was composed of 19 prey items (Table 1) with the majority being teleosts (8 taxa), followed by crustaceans (7 taxa), and molluscs (4 taxa). Small size individuals preyed mainly on shrimps which were their main important prey item in terms of *PSIRI*, followed by Portunidae crabs and Stomatopoda. Large individuals preyed mainly on fish, principally small pelagics (Clupeidae and Engraulidae), and squids (Table 1).

PERMANOVA showed statistical differences according to size (Pseudo- $F_{1,222} = 31.53$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ) and habitat (Pseudo- $F_{1,222} = 36.54$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ). However, no differences were found according to sex (Pseudo- $F_{1,222} = 0.63$ ,  $p > 0.05$ ) or season (Pseudo- $F_{1,222} = 1.39$ ,  $p > 0.05$ ). Pairwise comparison tests for the factor's size/habitat show that the feeding habits of adult-size orangemouth weakfish caught in marine environments were statistically different from the feeding habits of juvenile and preadult-size orangemouth weakfish caught in estuarine systems.

The differences in feeding habits according to size and habitat can be graphically seen in the PCO (Figure 4). Adults of orangemouth weakfish preyed on nekton exclusively (both fish and squids). There is a group of large individuals preying predominantly on



**FIGURE 2** Length frequency distribution and KDE analysis were used to determine the sizes of orangemouth weakfish. Colored lines indicate the normal kernels which are summed to make the kernel density estimate (solid black line). Text labels indicate the intervals of each site.



**FIGURE 3** Cumulative prey curves adjusted to the Chao 1 richness model for both sizes of orangemouth weakfish. Lines represent the adjusted model, whilst geometric figures denote the observed data.

cephalopods, however, these groups cannot be explained by the factors a priori established. Juvenile and preadult individuals preyed on benthic and demersal organisms, mainly crabs, bivalves, and sleeper

gobies (Eleotridae), although other items were also present such as swimming crabs, stomatopods, shrimps, and weakfish as well. The prey ingestion varied according to these two factors, as the juvenile


**TABLE 1** Dietary spectrum of the different sizes of orangemouth weakfish (*Cynoscion xhantulus*) in the coastal area of the southeast Gulf of California

Prey taxa	Small (<197 mm)	Large (>197 mm)
	N = 72	N = 152
	%PSIRI	%PSIRI
<b>MOLLUSCA</b>		
Class Bivalvia		
Unidentified bivalve	<0.01	-
Family Mytilidae	10.83	-
Class Cephalopoda		
<i>Lolliguncula panamensis</i>	<0.01	24.9
Family Onychoteuthidae	-	2.43
<b>CRUSTACEA</b>		
Class Malacostraca		
Squilla mantoidea	24.68	-
Order Decapoda	-	-
<i>Penaeus vannamei</i>	43.16	-
Infraorder Brachyura	-	-
Unidentified crab	25.17	-
Family Aethridae	21.05	-
Family Hippidae	8.3	-
Family Xanthidae	0.5	-
<i>Callinectes arcuatus</i>	37.87	-
<b>OSTEICHTHYES</b>		
Subclass Teleostei		
Unidentified fish	1.53	57.58
<i>Opisthonema libertate</i>	0	15.39
Family Engraulidae	-	10.62
Family Eleotridae	9.25	-
<i>Chloroscombus orqueta</i>	-	11.17
Family Gerridae	-	5.72
Family Haemulidae	-	8.86
Family Sciaenidae	<0.1	5.3

and preadult estuarine individuals showed lower biomass of prey ingested than the adults inhabiting the marine environment (Figure 5).

### 3.2 | Stable isotopes analysis

The isotopic values of  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  in the orangemouth weakfish muscle tissues showed  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values between  $-18.9\text{‰}$  and  $-16.2\text{‰}$ , and  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values ranging between  $14.4\text{‰}$  and  $17.6\text{‰}$ . Results of the GLM for mean  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values showed statistical differences in habitat ( $t = 5.68$ ,  $p < .05$ ), but no statistical differences were found according to the other factors ( $t_{\text{size}} = 0.06$ ,  $t_{\text{season}} = 0.17$ ,  $t_{\text{sex}} = 1.11$ ,  $p > .05$ ). In marine environments, the mean value ( $-16.2\text{‰} \pm 0.8$ ) was significantly higher than in the estuarine environment ( $-18.9\text{‰} \pm 1.9$ ; Table 2).



**FIGURE 4** Principal coordinates analysis (PCO) showing the main prey items of both sizes of orangemouth weakfish. The vectors of each prey item indicate the importance of that prey to the diet of the different groups observed (size and habitat).

On the other hand, mean values of  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  differed statistically between habitat ( $t = 3.19$ ,  $p < .05$ ) and size ( $t = 2.37$ ,  $p < .05$ ), but no differences were found according to season or sex ( $t_{\text{season}} = 1.65$ ,  $t_{\text{sex}} = 1.96$ ,  $p > .05$ ). Adult organisms inhabiting marine environments showed an isotopic value of  $17.6\text{‰} (\pm 2.1)$ , whilst estuarine organisms (juveniles and preadults) showed an isotopic value of  $15.1\text{‰} (\pm 4.1)$ ; Table 2).

### 3.3 | Trophic position

The TPs estimated with the Bayesian package tRophicPosition are in agreement with the previous results. For season and sex, the trophic levels were similar, however in terms of size the values were  $4.0 \pm 0.3$  for juveniles and preadults (<197 mm), and  $4.7 \pm 0.3$  (>197 mm) for adults. This indicates that it is a species that changes ontogenetically from a secondary consumer as a juvenile, to a top predator as an adult in the SE Gulf of California in benthic and pelagic communities. Also, the trophic level in the marine environment was higher ( $4.7 \pm 0.3$ ) than in the estuarine environment ( $4.2 \pm 0.4$ ; Table 4). The TP value of its main prey species as determined by PSIRI varied from 2.6 (*Opisthonema libertate*) to 3.6 (*Chloroscombus orqueta*; Table 4).

### 3.4 | Trophic spectrum

The standard ellipse areas obtained with SIBER when analysing the isotopic niche of the orangemouth weakfish indicated that clear differences existed according to habitat and size. In estuarine environments, where small individuals inhabit, the isotopic niche was wider than in the marine environment, where large individuals are found




FIGURE 5 Convex hulls (dotted lines) and frequentist standard ellipses (solid lines) for the isotopic niche breadth of the orangemouth weakfish according to (a) habitat, (b) size, (c) sex, and (d) season.

(SEAc estuarine 26.2%, SEAc marine 3%), and both were separated from each other (Figure 5a) indicating a different and more specialised diet in marine environments. The probability of overlap concerning the marine and estuarine habitat, according to nicheROVER, was 8.5% and 8.6% respectively. In terms of size, the isotopic niches showed high variation between small (estuarine) and large (marine) orangemouth weakfish (SEAc = 21.5‰ and 6.1‰, respectively; Figure 5b), and the probability of niche superposition between both of them was 9.7%. Also, the diet of small estuarine individuals was wider than that of large individuals, as the results from the isotopic niche of different size individuals showed.

In terms of sex and seasons regardless of size and habitat, the isotopic niches were very similar. For seasons (SEAc = 6.2‰ and 16.8‰ for rainy and dry, respectively) the probability of overlap between

them was higher than 80% according to the niche region they occupy, indicating that in both periods the exploited prey isotope fields were similar (Figure 5c). For sexes, although females have a bigger niche compared to males (SEAc = 20.2‰ and 11.4‰, respectively), the projected superimposition of their niches was 59.8% and 91.5% for males and females, respectively (Figure 5d), indicating a similar diet.

According to SIMMR the prey species that contributed most to the isotopic composition for both sizes of orangemouth weakfish were ix prey species (Table 3 and Figure 6). In small organisms, the main contributors were a crab (*Callinectes arcuatus*, 11.1%), the white shrimp (*Penaeus vannamei*, 16.8%), and the stomatopod (*Squilla mantoidea*, 1.1%). For large organisms, the three main prey items were all nektonic species: the Pacific thread herring (*Opisthonema*

**TABLE 2** Isotopic composition ( $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ ) of orangemouth weakfish

Category	Group	$\delta^{13}\text{C}$ (‰)			
		Mean	SD	t	p
Habitat	Estuarine	-18.9	1.9	5.68	<.05
	Marine	-16.2	0.8		
Size	Small	-17.7	1.8	0.06	>.05
	Large	-16.4	1.8		
Season	Rainy	-16.6	1.6	0.17	>.05
	Dry	-17.4	1.9		
Sex	Male	-16.8	1.8	1.11	>.05
	Female	-18.2	2.5		
		$\delta^{15}\text{N}$ (‰)			
Habitat	Estuarine	15.1	4.1	3.188	<.05
	Marine	17.6	2.1		
Size	Small	15.1	2.3	2.365	<.05
	Large	17.6	2.1		
Season	Rainy	15.9	3.1	1.643	>.05
	Dry	16.4	2.7		
Sex	Male	17.3	2.3	1.96	>.05
	Female	16.9	2.5		

*libertate*), the Pacific bumper (*Chloroscombrus orqueta*), and the squid (*Lolliguncula panamensis*), all with similar percentages (18.6%, 18.5%, and 18.3% respectively).

## 4 | DISCUSSION

### 4.1 | Feeding habits (stomach content analysis)

Studies on trophic interactions are very important from a biological point of view as they lead to the understanding of relationships within and between prey and predator species. This is of special value when the objects of study are species of commercial interest (Wootton, 1992), as is the case of the orangemouth weakfish. Our results show that the dietary spectrum of the orangemouth weakfish in this region is similar to what was previously reported, but in the present study, differences were found in feeding habits according to size and habitat.


No previous studies were found related to the length-at-maturity for this species, therefore we cannot know with certainty the exact size at which the individuals of this species change from subadults to adults. However, based on our observations from the length-frequency analysis, and previous works on the similar species *Cynoscion acoupa* (Ferreira et al., 2018), we consider that the small organism group included juveniles and preadults inhabiting the estuarine systems, and the large organism group included the adults inhabiting the marine environment. Our results suggest that this species shows a shift from a secondary estuarine benthic predator

**TABLE 3** Estimated contribution of the most important prey items to the different sizes of the orangemouth weakfish according to the Stable Isotope Mixing Models "SIMMR." CI, confidence interval

Season	Rain		Dry	
	Mean	95% CI	Mean	95% CI
<i>O. libertate</i>	0.24	0.02–0.67	0.22	0.02–0.58
<i>C. orqueta</i>	0.14	0.01–0.46	0.20	0.02–0.52
<i>L. vannamei</i>	0.20	0.02–0.64	0.22	0.02–0.67
<i>C. arcuatus</i>	0.21	0.02–0.66	0.19	0.02–0.60
<i>L. panamensis</i>	0.16	0.01–0.51	0.12	0.01–0.34
<i>S. mantoidea</i>	0.05	0.01–0.11	0.05	0.01–0.10
Habitat	Estuarine		Marine	
	Mean	95% CI	Mean	95% CI
<i>O. libertate</i>	0	N/A	0.55	0.01–0.30
<i>C. orqueta</i>	0	N/A	0.16	0.01–0.50
<i>L. vannamei</i>	0.33	0–42.5	0	N/A
<i>C. arcuatus</i>	0.14	0–34.1	0	N/A
<i>L. panamensis</i>	0	N/A	0.10	0.02–0.62
<i>S. mantoidea</i>	0.17	0–31.7	0	N/A
Sex	Females		Males	
	Mean	95% CI	Mean	95% CI
<i>O. libertate</i>	0.16	0.02–0.65	0.14	0.01–0.47
<i>C. orqueta</i>	0.23	0.02–0.50	0.22	0.02–0.64
<i>L. vannamei</i>	0.21	0.02–0.62	0.20	0.02–0.62
<i>C. arcuatus</i>	0.19	0.02–0.61	0.20	0.02–0.63
<i>L. panamensis</i>	0.14	0.02–0.45	0.19	0.02–0.59
<i>S. mantoidea</i>	0.08	0.01–0.17	0.06	0.01–0.17
Size	Small		Large	
	Mean	95% CI	Mean	95% CI
<i>O. libertate</i>	0.0	N/A	0.20	0.02–0.63
<i>C. orqueta</i>	0.0	N/A	0.29	0.03–0.64
<i>L. vannamei</i>	0.46	0.07–0.81	0.0	N/A
<i>C. arcuatus</i>	0.13	0.01–0.47	0.0	N/A
<i>L. panamensis</i>	0.00	N/A	0.19	0.02–0.60
<i>S. mantoidea</i>	0.10	0.02–0.31	0.01	N/A

as a juvenile and preadult, to a top nektonic marine predator as an adult, according to the observed  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values from the different size classes of the studied predator, and to the fact that no small organisms were found in the marine area.

The change in habitat is reflected in its diet, as small individuals prey on estuarine benthic and demersal fauna, and large organisms feed exclusively on nekton prey (squid and pelagic fish). This change in prey selection can be related to the development of the visual system, as well as changes in the energy requirements of the species' reproductive stages (Torres-Rojas et al., 2020). It is known that body length plays a central role in predator-prey interactions (Sheldon et al., 1977), and the different isotopic composition of the transition from juvenile to adult orangemouth weakfish might



**FIGURE 6** Relative contributions of the most abundant prey to the diet of both sizes of orangemouth weakfish concerning the size determined by the Stable Isotope Mixing Models in R (SIMMR).

**TABLE 4** Total area (convex hulls), mean standard ellipses areas two-dimensional niche region, and trophic position (PT). *SD*, standard deviation.

Category	Group	TA	SEA <sub>c</sub>	PT	SD (±)
Season	Rainy	57.5	16.8	4.1	0.33
	Dry	32	16.2	4.4	0.25
Habitat	Estuarine	53.1	26.2	4.3	0.36
	Marine	9.9	3	4.7	0.22
Sex	Male	33.2	20.2	4.4	0.32
	Female	16.8	11.4	4.5	0.29
Size	Small	24.1	10.5	4.0	0.26
	Large	33.9	11.3	4.7	0.28

be reflective of differences in feeding strategies associated with the increase in size and the change of habitat. Several authors note that trophic levels may increase as fish grow (Cousins, 1987; Scharf et al., 2000; Warren & Lawton, 1987); this is because as fish size increases the efficiency to capture prey also increases as the senses are fully developed and they can capture larger and faster

prey. This pattern was evident in our study because body length and trophic level were correlated, the larger organisms have a higher TP compared to small organisms.

As previously stated, a prior study undertaken in the region 40 years ago (Díaz-González & Soto, 1988), found a similar dietary spectrum, although in that study all the samples were collected inside the estuarine system, and as such, the number of large individuals was very scarce, no attempt was made to differentiate the orangemouth weakfish into different size classes. However, based on the results from both studies in the area, the feeding habits, size structure, and life cycle of the orangemouth weakfish may have remained similar in the area during the last 40 years.

Studies undertaken on Gulf weakfish (*Cynoscion othonopterus*) have found similar results (Bajeca Serrano, 2016; Encinas-Rivera, 2008), as they report that this species feed mainly on fish, however, both of these studies were undertaken in marine environments and the reported lengths of the predators correspond to the adult part of these species (Mendivil-Mendoza et al., 2018). Other authors have mentioned that the food of the weakfish depends on the habits of the prey rather than a selective preference on the part of the predator towards it (Matlock & Garcia, 1983). For example,

studies conducted in the Upper Gulf of California for the Gulf weakfish mention that its main diet is the anchovy *Cetengraulis mysticetus* with a contribution to its diet greater than 95%, and that this has not changed for more than 20 years due to the great abundance of this prey in the area (Bajeca Serrano, 2016).

However, it seems that, although the specific diet can be directly related to prey abundance, the orangemouth weakfish exhibits an ontogenetic change in habitat use and feeding habits, a result that is supported by studies on the tropical Acoupa weakfish (*C. acoupa*), which exhibits the same ontogenetic changes in a very similar tropical area in Brazil (Ferreira et al., 2016). Juveniles and preadult organisms inhabit the estuarine system, because the conditions in these zones are favorable for these specific stages, which are nursery and feeding grounds for juveniles, and feeding grounds for preadults, due to the high densities of prey in estuarine zones. As they reach the adult stage, a migration occurs to the marine environment, changing from an estuarine benthic predator as juveniles, preying mainly on sessile or slow-moving small prey invertebrates, then remaining an estuarine benthic predator, but transitioning to preying on larger and more mobile organisms as preadults, to finally become a marine pelagic feeder as an adult, making this species a marine-estuarine dependent, relying on the estuary to complete its life cycle (nursery and early development; Blaber, 2007; Blaber & Blaber, 1980).

## 4.2 | Trophic position and trophic Spectrum

The  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values of orangemouth weakfish varied from  $-18.86$  in small estuarine organisms to  $-16.24$  in large marine organisms. These differences in carbon isotopic values could indicate the change in habitat as small organisms inhabit the estuarine zone, which generally presents more negative carbon isotopic values compared to organisms of larger sizes inhabiting the marine environment that present more enriched  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values (Tue et al., 2012). This could also indicate that the sources of carbon differ between both habitats, as estuarine organisms have increased assimilation of autochthon  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  primary producers in the base of their food web, whilst large marine organisms reflect marine basal sources of  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ , which are larger values when compared to estuarine and freshwater environments (Bouillon et al., 2008). The values found in our study are similar to other estuarine benthic feeders in the case of small juvenile organisms, and large nektonic predators, as in the case of large marine orangemouth weakfish (Torres-Rojas et al., 2020).

Isotopic data of predators with a standard deviation higher than 1 indicates an isotopic variation that can be associated with feeding on prey with different isotopic values or from different trophic levels (Bearhop et al., 2004), and in most of our results  $SD > 1$ . Considering this, a broad isotopic niche (i.e.,  $SD > 1$ ) is indicative of heterogeneous diets, comprising a wide variety of food sources with different isotopic values; their final isotopic composition reflects the combination of the food sources they have consumed. Concerning the isotopic niche breadth of orangemouth weakfish concerning season and sex, the

$\delta^{15}\text{N}$  and  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values indicate no differences between specimens of this species according to these two factors. The absence of seasonal differences could be related to the fact that upper levels in the food web do not vary seasonally (Simenstad & Wissmar, 1985), and considering that most of the analysed species in this work occupy high levels of the coastal food web, this might be masking differences in lower trophic levels. However, such analysis was beyond the scope of this paper.

In comparison, the  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  and  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values concerning habitat and size were significantly different. Organisms captured in estuarine systems show a higher variation in their isotopic values opposite to the organisms of the marine environment that reflect a smaller isotopic niche (nektivores). Multivariate analysis shows that organisms captured in estuarine and marine areas have different food preferences. These different feeding strategies could be responsible for the observed isotopic variability, as this may directly relate to the type of prey species consumed and assimilated. The presence of significant differences in both isotopes indicates that predators use different feeding habitats (estuarine/marine) or consume different prey species (Newsome et al., 2010). In addition to this, the organisms that reside in estuarine zones are characterised by presenting a higher isotopic variability as these environments have a large number of potential sources of organic matter due to the input of subsidies by the surrounding environment as it is high in situ production.

Concerning size, significant differences were not found concerning  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ , however, concerning  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  the isotopic values of the organisms did show differences between sizes with lower values in organisms of small sizes compared to large sizes which registered higher values. This was also observed through the analysis of stomach contents, as small-sized organisms fed on benthic invertebrates and demersal fish, and larger organisms were exclusive nektivores, which has been reported in other species of the Scianidae family (Blasina et al., 2015; García, 2007; Sardiña & Cazorla, 2005).

The results in this study are congruent with size-based marine food webs, where the size of potential prey increases with predator size as their diet is generally constrained by their morphometric characteristics such as the size of their jaws, feeding behavior, and prey availability along with other factors (Gerking, 2014; Lundvall et al., 1999; Sheldon et al., 1977). Consequently, size-related dietary shifts may be prevalent in many fish species (Davis et al., 2012; Galvan et al., 2010). The previous results were corroborated by the SIMMR mixing model, which shows the TP of both sizes of orangemouth weakfish, as well as the relative contribution of the prey consumed by each size.

Our results highlight the importance of considering the ontogenetic variations in the analysis of trophic studies in estuarine and adjacent marine areas where there is an abundance of juvenile fish and macroinvertebrates that use these types of systems as a refuge and feeding area (Rodríguez-Graña et al., 2018). Our work only includes organisms captured in the estuarine and marine areas close to shore. As such, organisms of the maximum reported lengths were not caught, so other differences in feeding habits and TP might occur if compared with larger organisms likely captured at higher depths or in other environments. It is necessary to consider that larger organisms might not have been caught because of the selectivity of the

fishing gear used. Local fishers use gillnets of a mesh size of up to 6 inches to catch larger fish. Therefore, there is the likelihood that larger fish could return to the estuarine system to spawn, or they may remain outside the marine zone permanently, however that goal was outside of the scope of this work. In this sense, and although our results show isotopic differences between estuarine and marine habitats related to ontogenetic changes in both prey selection and habitat, more information is still required to sample across all age classes to determine the extent of ontogenetic differences in diet.

However, results from this study indicate that this species has an important role in the ecological connectivity between coastal ecosystems; through the migration of juveniles from the estuarine system to subtidal waters, this species transfers carbon among near-shore systems (Bouillon & Connolly, 2009), as our results from  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  indicate that the carbon source for small organisms is riverine. Also, these individuals prey on estuarine biota and then migrate to the marine area, and they are likely to be predated when they leave the estuarine system, thus producing the effect of a relay system that transfers energy from the estuarine to the marine ecosystem, a phenomenon known as Trophic Relay (Kneib, 1997). This sort of energy transfer from the estuarine systems to the marine environment in migrating fish is a potentially important mechanism of carbon transport in tropical ecosystems (Bouillon & Connolly, 2009).

In conclusion, our study demonstrates that the orangemouth weakfish shows an ontogenetic shift in its diet spectrum and its TP, but also shows that this species has a differential use of habitat as it grows, shifting from a secondary benthopelagic predator in estuarine systems to a top nektonic predator as an adult, thus playing an important role as a species regulator and in the ecological connectivity through carbon transport in coastal ecosystems. Such information can be useful for the development of robust trophic models which allow for an accurate representation of the trophic flows associated with demersal fish in the Gulf of California.

## AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

**Víctor M. Muro-Torres:** Conceptualisation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Visualisation, Writing—original draft, Writing—review and editing. **Felipe Amezcua:** Conceptualisation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Funding acquisition, Project administration, Methodology, Supervision, Visualisation, Writing—original draft, Writing—review and editing. **Lucinda Green:** Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing—original draft, Writing—review and editing. **Jorge Payan:** Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing—original draft. **Eduardo F. Balart-Páez:** Investigation, Visualisation, Writing—original draft, Writing—review and editing. **Felipe Amezcua-Linares:** Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing—original draft.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank A. Garcia, E. Hernandez, and all the fishers that helped us with the sampling process. Dr. M. Soto assisted us with the SIA. The research leading to these results received funding from Instituto de

Ciencias del Mar y Limnología, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México. Víctor Muro was awarded a Ph.D. grant from CONACYT.

## CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors have no relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose.

## DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Data information will be available at UNINMAR (<http://www.icmyl.unam.mx/uninmar/>).

## CODE AVAILABILITY

Not applicable.

## ADDITIONAL DECLARATIONS FOR ARTICLES IN LIFE SCIENCE JOURNALS THAT REPORT THE RESULTS OF STUDIES INVOLVING HUMANS AND/OR ANIMALS

The fishers collecting the samples had all the proper fishing permits issued by the relevant authority (<https://www.gob.mx/conapesca>).

## CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE

All the authors have given their consent to participate in this work.

## CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION

All the authors have given their consent to submit and publish this work, if accepted, in *Aquatic Ecology*.

## ORCID

Víctor M. Muro-Torres  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8022-4826>

Felipe Amezcua  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6298-7531>

Lucinda Green  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2680-0682>

Jorge Payan  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4636-0274>

Felipe Amezcua-Linares  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7519-7333>

## REFERENCES

- Amezcua, F., Ramirez, M., & Flores-Verdugo, F. (2019). Classification and comparison of five estuaries in the southeast gulf of California based on environmental variables and fish assemblages. *Bulletin of Marine Science*, 95(2), 139–159.
- Anderson, M., Gorley, R. N., & Clarke, R. K. (2008). *Permanova+ for primer: Guide to software and statistical methods*. Primer-E Limited.
- Araújo, F. G., Teixeira, T. P., Guedes, A. P. P., de Azevedo, M. C. C., & Pessanha, A. L. M. (2018). Shifts in the abundance and distribution of shallow water fish fauna on the southeastern Brazilian coast: A response to climate change. *Hydrobiologia*, 814(1), 205–218. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-018-3537-8>
- Bajeca Serrano, E. S. (2016). *Análisis de la Dieta de la Curvina Golfina Cynoscion othonopterus (Jordán y Gilbert, 1882) en el Alto Golfo de California*. Centro de Investigaciones Biológicas del Noroeste. Centro de Investigaciones Biológicas del Noroeste, S. C.
- Barletta, M., & Lima, A. R. A. (2019). Systematic review of fish ecology and anthropogenic impacts in south American estuaries: Setting priorities for ecosystem conservation. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 6, 237. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2019.00237>
- Bearhop, S., Adams, C. E., Waldron, S., Fuller, R. A., & Macleod, H. (2004). Determining trophic niche width: A novel approach using

- stable isotope analysis. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 73(5), 1007–1012. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0021-8790.2004.00861.x>
- Bisi, T. L., Lepoint, G., Azevedo, A. D. F., Dorneles, P. R., Flach, L., Das, K., & Lailson-Brito, J. (2012). Trophic relationships and mercury biomagnification in Brazilian tropical coastal food webs. *Ecological Indicators*, 18, 291–302. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoli.2011.11.015>
- Blaber, S. (2007). Mangroves and fishes: Issues of diversity, dependence, and dogma. *Bulletin of Marine Science*, 80, 457–472.
- Blaber, S. J. M., & Blaber, T. G. (1980). Factors affecting the distribution of juvenile estuarine and inshore fish. *Journal of Fish Biology*, 17(2), 143–162.
- Blasina, G., Molina, J., Cazorla, A. L., & de Astarloa, J. D. (2016). Relationship between ecomorphology and trophic segregation in four closely related sympatric fish species (Teleostei, Sciaenidae). *Comptes Rendus Biologies*, 339(11–12), 498–506.
- Blasina, G. E., Lopez Cazorla, A. C., & Diaz de Astarloa, J. M. (2015). Possible predation by the striped weakfish *Cynoscion guatucupa* on estuary-associated fishes in an Argentinian coastal lagoon. *Marine Biology Research*, 11(6), 613–623.
- Bouillon, S., & Connolly, R. M. (2009). Carbon exchange among tropical coastal ecosystems. In I. Nagelkerken (Ed.), *Ecological connectivity among tropical coastal ecosystems* (pp. 45–70). Springer.
- Bouillon, S., Connolly, R. M., & Lee, S. Y. (2008). Organic matter exchange and cycling in mangrove ecosystems: Recent insights from stable isotope studies. *Journal of Sea Research*, 59(1–2), 44–58.
- Braverman, M. S. (2011). *Historia de vida temprana de la corvina rubia (Micropogonias furnieri, Sciaenidae) en el estuario del Río de la Plata*. Universidad de Buenos Aires, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales Retrieved from [http://hdl.handle.net/20.500.12110/tesis\\_n4941\\_Braverman](http://hdl.handle.net/20.500.12110/tesis_n4941_Braverman)
- Brown, S. C., Bizzarro, J. J., Caillet, G. M., & Ebert, D. A. (2012). Breaking with tradition: Redefining measures for diet description with a case study of the Aleutian skate *Bathyraja aleutica* (Gilbert 1896). *Environmental Biology of Fishes*, 95(1), 3–20. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10641-011-9959-z>
- Caskey, L. L., Riedel, R. R., Costa-Pierce, B., Butler, J., & Hurlbert, S. H. (2007). Population dynamics, distribution, and growth rate of tilapia (*Oreochromis mossambicus*) in the Salton Sea, California, with notes on bairdiella (*Bairdiella icistia*) and orangemouth corvina (*Cynoscion xanthulus*). *Hydrobiologia*, 576(1), 185–203.
- Chao, A., & Chiu, C. (2016). Species richness: Estimation and comparison. *Wiley StatsRef: Statistics Reference Online*, 1, 26. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118445112.stat03432.pub2>
- Cousins, S. (1987). The decline of the trophic level concept. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 2(10), 312–316.
- Davis, A. M., Blanchette, M. L., Pusey, B. J., Jardine, T. D., & Pearson, R. G. (2012). Gut content and stable isotope analyses provide complementary understanding of ontogenetic dietary shifts and trophic relationships among fishes in a tropical river. *Freshwater Biology*, 57(10), 2156–2172.
- Díaz-González, G., & Soto, L. A. (1988). Hábitos alimenticios de peces depredadores del sistema lagunar Huizache-Caimanero, Sinaloa, México. *Anales del Instituto de Ciencias del Mar y Limnología Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México*, 19, 97–124.
- Dos Santos, P. R. S., Einhardt, A. C. M. C., & Velasco, G. (2018). A pesca artesanal da miragaia (*Pogonias cromis*, Sciaenidae) no estuário da Lagoa dos Patos, Brasil. *Boletim Do Instituto de Pesca*, 42(1), 89–101.
- Encinas-Rivera, Y. M. (2008). *Analysis of stomach contents of Cynoscion othonopterus (Jordan and Gilbert) in the upper gulf of California and Colorado River Delta*. Technological Institute of Yaqui Valley.
- Ferreira, G. V. B., Barletta, M., Lima, A. R. A., Dantas, D. V., Justino, A. K. S., & Costa, M. F. (2016). Plastic debris contamination in the life cycle of *Acoupa weakfish (Cynoscion acoupa)* in a tropical estuary. *ICES Journal of Marine Science: Journal Du Conseil*, 73(10), 2695–2707. <https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsw108>
- Ferreira, G. V. B. B., Barletta, M., Lima, A. R. A. A., Morley, S. A., Justino, A. K. S. S., & Costa, M. F. (2018). High intake rates of microplastics in a Western Atlantic predatory fish, and insights of a direct fishery effect. *Environmental Pollution*, 236, 706–717. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2018.01.095>
- Galvan, D. E., Sweeting, C. J., & Reid, W. D. K. (2010). Power of stable isotope techniques to detect size-based feeding in marine fishes. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 407, 271–278.
- García, S. (2007). Ecología trófica de la pescadilla de red, *Cynoscion guatucupa* (Pisces: Sciaenidae), en aguas del Atlántico sudoccidental.
- Gerking, S. D. (2014). *Feeding ecology of fish*. Elsevier.
- Gotelli, N. J., & Colwell, R. K. (2001). Quantifying biodiversity: Procedures and pitfalls in the measurement and comparison of species richness. *Ecology Letters*, 4(4), 379–391. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1461-0248.2001.00230.x>
- Hobson, K. A., & Wassenaar, L. I. (2018). *Tracking animal migration with stable isotopes*. Academic Press.
- Hoehn, D. K., Kim, S. L., Hussey, N. E., Wallsgrave, N. J., Drazen, J. C., & Popp, B. N. (2014). Amino acid 15N trophic enrichment factors of four large carnivorous fishes. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, 453, 76–83. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2014.01.006>
- Jackson, A. L., Inger, R., Parnell, A. C., & Bearhop, S. (2011). Comparing isotopic niche widths among and within communities: SIBER—stable isotope Bayesian Ellipses in R. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 80(3), 595–602.
- Kneib, R. T. (1997). The role of tidal marshes in the ecology of estuarine nekton. *Oceanography and Marine Biology: An Annual Review*, 35, 163–220.
- Koen-Alonso, M. (2009). Some observations on the role of trophodynamic models for ecosystem approaches to fisheries. *Fish and Fisheries*, 31, 185–207. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-9210-7>
- Layman, C. A., Arrington, D. A., Montaña, C. G., & Post, D. M. (2007). Can stable isotope ratios provide for community-wide measures of trophic structure? *Ecology*, 88(1), 42–48.
- López-Vila, J. M., Schmitter-Soto, J. J., Velázquez-Velázquez, E., Barba-Macías, E., & Salgado-Ugarte, I. H. (2019). Young does not mean unstable: A trophic model for an estuarine lagoon system in the southern Mexican Pacific. *Hydrobiologia*, 827(1), 225–246. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-018-3770-1>
- Lundvall, D., Svanbäck, R., Persson, L., & Byström, P. (1999). Size-dependent predation in piscivores: Interactions between predator foraging and prey avoidance abilities. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 56(7), 1285–1292.
- Madigan, D. J., Carlisle, A. B., Dewar, H., Snodgrass, O. E., Litvin, S. Y., Micheli, F., & Block, B. A. (2012). Stable isotope analysis challenges wasp-waist food web assumptions in an upwelling pelagic ecosystem. *Scientific Reports*, 2(1), 1–10.
- Matlock, G. C., & Garcia, M. A. (1983). Stomach contents of selected fishes from Texas bays. *Contributions in Marine Science*, 26(95), 110.
- Mendivil-Mendoza, J. E., Aragón-Noriega, E. A., Arreola-Lizárraga, J. A., Rodríguez-Domínguez, G., Castillo-Vargasmachuca, S. G., & Ortega-Lizárraga, G. G. (2018). Indicadores de sustentabilidad para la pesquería de curvina golfina *Cynoscion othonopterus* en el Alto Golfo de California. *Revista de Biología Marina y Oceanografía*, 53(1), 119–130. <https://doi.org/10.4067/s0718-19572018000100119>
- Newsome, S. D., Clementz, M. T., & Koch, P. L. (2010). Using stable isotope biogeochemistry to study marine mammal ecology. *Marine Mammal Science*, 26(3), 509–572.
- Parkyn, S. M., Collier, K. J., & Hicks, B. J. (2001). New Zealand stream crayfish: Functional omnivores but trophic predators? *Freshwater Biology*, 46(5), 641–652.
- Parnell, A. C., & Inger, R. (2019). Stable isotope mixing models in R with SIMMR: A stable isotope mixing model. Version 0.4.1.
- Phillips, D. L., Inger, R., Bearhop, S., Jackson, A. L., Moore, J. W., Parnell, A. C., ... Ward, E. J. (2014). Best practices for use of stable isotope

- mixing models in food-web studies. *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, 92(10), 823–835.
- Post, D. M. (2002). Using stable isotopes to estimate trophic position: Models, methods, and assumptions. *Ecology*, 83(3), 703–718.
- Quezada-Romegialli, C., Jackson, A. L., Hayden, B., Kahilainen, K. K., Lopes, C., & Harrod, C. (2018). tRophicPosition, an R package for the Bayesian estimation of trophic position from consumer stable isotope ratios. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 9(6), 1592–1599.
- R Core Team. (2013). *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing Retrieved from <https://www.r-project.org/>
- Riedel, R., Caskey, L., Costa-Pierce, B. A., (2002). Fish biology and fisheries ecology of the Salton Sea, California. In D. A. Barnum, J. F. Elder, D. Stephens, M. Friend (Eds.), *Developments in Hydrobiology: Vol.161. The Salton Sea*. Springer. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-017-3459-2\\_17](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-017-3459-2_17)
- Riedel, R., & Costa-Pierce, B. A. (2002). Review of the fisheries of the Salton Sea, California, USA: Past, present, and future. *Reviews in Fisheries Science*, 10(1), 77–112.
- Robertson, D. R., & Allen, G. R. (2006). *Shorefishes of the tropical eastern Pacific: An information system: An information system*. Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute.
- Rodríguez-Graña, L., Vera, M., Cervetto, G., & Calliari, D. L. (2018). Trophic ecology of the white croaker (*Micropogonias furnieri* Desmarest, 1823) and Rough Scad (*Trachurus lathami* Nichols, 1920) Larvae in the Río de la Plata Estuary. In *Plankton ecology of the southwestern Atlantic* (pp. 349–371). Springer.
- Sanvicente-Añorve, L., Salgado-Ugarte, I. H., & Castillo-Rivera, M. (2003). The use of kernel density estimators to analyze length-frequency distributions of fish larvae. In H. I. Browman & A. B. Skiftesvik (Eds.), *The big fish bang. Proceedings of the 26th annual larval fish conference* (pp. 419–430). Institute of Marine Research.
- Sardiña, P., & Cazorla, A. L. (2005). Trophic ecology of the whitemouth croaker, *Micropogonias furnieri* (Pisces: Sciaenidae), in South-Western Atlantic waters. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom*, 85(2), 405–413.
- Sardiña, P., & Lopez Cazorla, A. C. (2005). Feeding habits of the juvenile striped weakfish, *Cynoscion guatucupa* Cuvier 1830, in Bahía Blanca estuary (Argentina): Seasonal and ontogenetic changes. *Hydrobiologia*, 532(1), 23–38. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-004-8769-0>
- Scharf, F. S., Juanes, F., & Rountree, R. A. (2000). Predator size-prey size relationships of marine fish predators: Interspecific variation and effects of ontogeny and body size on trophic-niche breadth. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 208, 229–248.
- Sheather, S., & Jones, M. (1991). A reliable data-based bandwidth selection method for kernel density estimation. *Journal of the royal statistical society. Series B. Methodological*, 53, 683–690. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2345597>
- Sheldon, R. W., Sutcliffe, W. H., Jr., & Paranajpe, M. A. (1977). Structure of pelagic food chain and relationship between plankton and fish production. *Journal of the Fisheries Board of Canada*, 34(12), 2344–2353.
- Silverman, B. W. (1986). *Density estimation for statistics and data analysis* (Vol. 26). CRC Press.
- Simenstad, C. A., & Wissmar, R. C. (1985).  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  evidence of the origins and fates of organic carbon in estuarine and nearshore food webs. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 22, 141–152.
- Swanson, H. K., Lysy, M., Power, M., Stasko, A. D., Johnson, J. D., & Reist, J. D. (2015). A new probabilistic method for quantifying n-dimensional ecological niches and niche overlap. *Ecology*, 96(2), 318–324.
- Torres-Rojas, Y. E., Amezcua, F., & Soto-Jimenez, M. F. (2020). Trophic niche of the Pacific sierra (*Scomberomorus sierra*) in the southeastern Gulf of California: Assessing its importance as a predator and prey (Mesopredator) in the food web. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology*, 36(5), 624–642.
- Tue, N. T., Hamaoka, H., Sogabe, A., Quy, T. D., Nhuan, M. T., & Omori, K. (2012). Food sources of macro-invertebrates in an important mangrove ecosystem of Vietnam determined by dual stable isotope signatures. *Journal of Sea Research*, 72, 14–21. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seares.2012.05.006>
- Warren, P. H., & Lawton, J. H. (1987). Invertebrate predator-prey body size relationships: An explanation for upper triangular food webs and patterns in food web structure? *Oecologia*, 74(2), 231–235.
- Wissel, B., & Fry, B. (2005). Tracing Mississippi River influences in estuarine food webs of coastal Louisiana. *Oecologia*, 144(4), 659–672.
- Wootton, J. T. (1992). Indirect effects, prey susceptibility, and habitat selection: Impacts of birds on limpets and algae. *Ecology*, 73(3), 981–991.

**How to cite this article:** Muro-Torres, V. M., Amezcua, F., Green, L., Payan, J., Balart-Páez, E. F., & Amezcua-Linares, F. (2023). Ontogenetic shifts in feeding habits of orangemouth weakfish (*Cynoscion xanthulus*): From estuarine benthic feeder to marine nekton top predator. *Marine Ecology*, 44, e12735. <https://doi.org/10.1111/maec.12735>