

# VERTICAL STRUCTURE OF MAGNETIZED ACCRETION DISKS AROUND YOUNG STARS

S. LIZANO<sup>1</sup>, C. TAPIA<sup>1</sup>, Y. BOEHLER<sup>1,2</sup>, AND P. D'ALESSIO<sup>1,†</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Instituto de Radioastronomía y Astrofísica, UNAM, Apartado Postal 3-72, 58089 Morelia, Michoacán, México

Department of Physics and Astronomy Rice University, 6100 Main Street, Houston, TX, 77005, USA

Received 2014 November 19; accepted 2015 November 28; published 2016 January 20

# ABSTRACT

We model the vertical structure of the magnetized accretion disks that are subject to viscous and resistive heating and irradiation by the central star. We apply our formalism to the radial structure of the magnetized accretion disks that are threaded by the poloidal magnetic field dragged during the process of star formation, which was developed by Shu and coworkers. We consider disks around low-mass protostars, T Tauri, and FU Orionis stars, as well as two levels of disk magnetization:  $\lambda_{sys} = 4$  (strongly magnetized disks) and  $\lambda_{sys} = 12$  (weakly magnetized disks). The rotation rates of strongly magnetized disks have large deviations from Keplerian rotation. In these models, resistive heating dominates the thermal structure for the FU Ori disk, and the T Tauri disk is very thin and cold because it is strongly compressed by magnetic pressure; it may be too thin compared with observations. Instead, in the weakly magnetized disks, rotation velocities are close to Keplerian, and resistive heating is always less than 7% of the viscous heating. In these models, the T Tauri disk has a larger aspect ratio, which is consistent with that inferred from observations. All the disks have spatially extended hot atmospheres where the irradiation flux is absorbed, although most of the mass (~90%–95%) is in the disk midplane. With the advent of ALMA one expects direct measurements of magnetic fields and their morphology at disk scales. It will then be possible to determine the mass-to-flux ratio of magnetized accretion disks around young stars, an essential parameter for their structure and evolution. Our models contribute to the understanding of the vertical structure and emission of these disks.

*Key words:* accretion, accretion disks – ISM: magnetic fields – magnetohydrodynamics (MHD) – protoplanetary disks – stars: formation

# 1. INTRODUCTION

During the last two decades, the disks around young stars have been observed from optical to radio wavelengths (e.g., Williams & Cieza 2011) and their physical properties have been inferred successfully using models of their vertical structure and emission (e.g., Chiang & Goldreich 1997; D'Alessio et al. 1998). These models consider the viscous heating of the gas and the heating of the disk surface by irradiation from the central star. Stellar irradiation is an important source of disk heating during the T Tauri phase; envelope irradiation is also important for embedded Class 0 sources (e.g., D'Alessio et al. 1997). Other heating mechanisms have been considered, such as the accretion shocks expected during disk formation (Neufeld & Hollenbach 1994), bending wave dissipation produced by a stellar dipole/disk misalignment (Lubow & Pringle 2010), and cosmic rays and X-rays produced by the stellar magnetospheres (e.g., Igea & Glassgold 1999; Glassgold et al. 2012). The effect of high energy radiation (X-rays, UV, and FU) on the evaporation of the disks around low-mass stars has also been studied (e.g., Font et al. 2004; Gorti et al. 2009; Gorti & Hollenbach 2009; Owen et al. 2012).

In accretion disks, turbulence produced by the magnetorotational instability (MRI; e.g., Balbus & Hawley 1998) is believed to be the mechanism responsible for the anomalous viscosity that allows the inward transport of mass and the outward transport of angular momentum. Anomalous values are required to explain the fast timescales of disk evolution, of the order of 5–10 Myr (Strom et al. 1989; Haisch et al. 2001; Sicilia-Aguilar et al. 2006; Hernández et al. 2007; Bell et al. 2013). Because comic rays and X-rays can only penetrate mass column densities  $\Sigma \sim 50-100 \text{ g cm}^{-2}$  (e.g., Umebayashi & Nakano 1981; Igea & Glassgold 1999; Padovani et al. 2009) the dense gas at the disk midplane is expected to be weakly ionized. The lack of ionization would produce midplane "dead zones" that are unable to sustain the MRI, although accretion could still occur in surface layers (e.g., Gammie 1996).

Numerical shearing box simulations of the MRI with a vertical net flux, as expected from the poloidal field dragged in during the process of disk formation, have been studied by several authors (e.g., Suzuki & Inutsuka 2009; Suzuki et al. 2010, and Bai & Stone 2013a; Fromang et al. 2013). They find that the behavior of the MRI turbulence depends on the ratio of the gas to magnetic pressure, such that the heightintegrated mass-weighted Shakura–Sunyaev parameter  $\alpha$  is larger than one for magnetically dominated disks. In these simulations, a disk outflow is launched, but the large scale field has no permanent bending direction. Bai & Stone (2013b) found that ambipolar diffusion (AD) suppresses the MRI: the simulations develop a laminar flow with a strong disk wind that carries away the angular momentum and drives disk accretion. Recently, several authors have made simulations including the three non-ideal MHD effects: Ohmic resistivity, AD, and the Hall effect (e.g., Lesur et al. 2014; Bai 2015; Simon et al. 2015). They find that a magnetocentrifugal wind is launched when the vertical field is not too weak and the Hall effect leads to strong Maxwell stresses when the magnetic field is aligned with the disk rotation. Lesur et al. (2014) find that large accretion rates can be produced in the aligned case. Nevertheless, global simulations that include the effect of the back reaction of the magnetic field on the flow are needed, because sub-Keplerian rotation may hinder the ejection of disk winds (Shu et al. 2008). In addition, van Ballegooijen (1989, p. 99) and Lubow et al. (1994) showed that the radial transport of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> Untimely deceased, 2013 November 14.

magnetic flux in magnetized accretion disks depends largely on the ratio of turbulent viscosity and resistivity. In particular, the dragging of field lines by accretion is balanced by the outward diffusion only if the the Prandtl number is  $P_T = \nu/\eta \sim 1/A > 1$ , where A is the disk aspect ratio. Guilet & Ogilvie (2013, 2014) showed that for weak magnetic fields, the advection velocity of the magnetic flux also depends on the vertical variation of the diffusion coefficients, and protoplanetary disks with  $P_T \sim 1$  would evolve to a configuration with a ratio of thermal to magnetic pressure  $\beta \sim 10^4 - 10^7$ . Recent numerical simulations in the shear box approximation measured the resistivity associated with the MRI turbulence and found a Prandtl number  $P_T \sim 1$  (e.g., Fromang & Stone 2009; Guan & Gammie 2009; Lesur & Longaretti 2009). Nevertheless, by the nature of the shearing box, these studies cannot address the magnetic field topology expected in magnetized accretion disks. Again, global simulations are needed to study this problem, including the back reaction of the Lorentz force on the gas.

The hourglass signature of the magnetic fields dragged during the phase of gravitational collapse has been found with SMA observations of dust polarized emission, for example, in the low-mass star-forming region NGC 1333 IRS5 (Girart et al. 2006) and in the high-mass star-forming region W51 (Tang et al. 2009). With the beginning of ALMA operations, one can soon expect the direct detection of Zeeman splitting in molecules like CN and polarized dust emission at the scales of accretion disks, as was found recently in a few young stellar objetcs (YSOs) with the SMA and CARMA (Rao et al. 2014; Stephens et al. 2014; Segura-Cox et al. 2015). Thus it is now necessary to consider models of accretion disks that include the effect of the magnetic field on their structure and emission. In magnetized disks, both viscous and resistive diffusion are needed for the gas to lose angular momentum and cross field lines to accrete onto the central star. Therefore, for magnetized disks, heating by resistive dissipation is a necessary ingredient in the modeling of the disk structure and evolution.

Shu et al. (2007; hereafter S07) studied analytic models of the radial structure of magnetized disks threaded by a poloidal magnetic field dragged into the system by the star formation process. They showed that because the poloidal field is bent by the accretion flow, the magnetic tension produces sub-Keplerian rotation of the gas. In their models, resistive dissipation competes with viscous heating for the disks expected around T Tauri and FU Ori stars. In addition, the disks become more magnetized with time as the mass accretes on the star and the magnetic field is left behind. The Toomre stability parameter is modified by two opposing effects: magnetic pressure and tension support the gas against gravitational collapse, but sub-Keplerian rotation makes the gas locally more unstable (Lizano et al. 2010). In disks around young stars, the magnetic Toomre stability parameter is larger than its nonmagnetic counterpart, thus stable magnetized disks can be more massive than nonmagnetic disks. In addition, the region of instability is pushed out to large radii, making it more difficult to form giant planets via gravitational instability. Planet migration is also accelerated because the protoplanets move at Keplerian speeds and experience a headwind against the slower sub-Keplerian gas (Adams et al. 2009).

The poloidal field that threads the disk is dragged from the parent core during the phase of gravitational collapse and disk formation. Galli et al. (2006) found that the magnetic field has

to be dissipated during the phase of gravitational collapse to prevent the catastrophic braking produced by magnetic torques and allow the formation of a rotationally supported disk (RSD; see also Shu et al. 2006). Misalignment between the magnetic and rotation axis, as observed between polarization vectors in dense cores at scales of 1000 AU and outflows (Hull et al. 2013), can alleviate this problem, but magnetic field dissipation is still required to form RSDs (e.g., Hennebelle & Ciardi 2009). Several numerical simulations have recently been carried out to study the conditions for disk formation in magnetized cores (e.g., Li et al. 2011, 2013, 2014; Machida et al. 2011, 2014; Joos et al. 2012; Seifried et al. 2012; Santos-Lima et al. 2013; for a review see Lizano & Galli 2015). From initial core values of the dimensionless mass-to-flux ratio,  $\lambda_{\rm core} = M/2\pi G\Phi \sim 1-4$ , where M is the mass of the core and  $\Phi$  is the magnetic flux; one expects, after some field dissipation, higher mass-to-flux ratios for the disk plus star system,  $\lambda_{sys} \sim 4-12$ .

A current problem in protoplanetary disks is the effect of a strong poloidal magnetic field on the disk formation, structure, and emission. In this paper we study the vertical structure of magnetized accretion disks, taking into account viscous and resistive dissipation, as well as stellar irradiation of the disk surface. We consider that the radial structure is given by the analytic models of S07 but the formalism can be applied to other models of the disk radial structure. The paper is organized in the following way: in Section 2 we briefly discuss the radial structure of the magnetized disk models of S07, and in Section 3 we discuss the equations of the vertical structure that take into account internal heating and irradiation by the central star. Section 4 presents the method of solution, and Section 5 shows the results of the models for accretion disks around three different YSOs with a mass-to-flux ratio  $\lambda_{sys} = 4$  and discusses the effect of the resistive dissipation and magnetic compression on the vertical structure. In Section 6 we discuss more weakly magnetized disks with  $\lambda_{sys} = 12$  and compare with the former models. Finally, in Section 7, we present the conclusions of this work.

# 2. S07 RADIAL STRUCTURE OF MAGNETIZED ACCRETION DISKS

During the process of gravitational collapse and disk formation, a fraction of the magnetic flux from the parent core is dragged into the disk. When the core accretion has ceased, the magnetized disk will evolve, subject to two diffusive processes: viscosity due to turbulent and magnetic stresses that transfers angular momentum outside, producing mass accretion toward the star that is characterized by the coefficient  $\nu$  {cm<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>}; and resistivity due to microscopic collisions and the MRI, which allows matter to slip across field lines and is characterized by the coefficient  $\eta$  {cm<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>}. S07 considered steady state models where the dragging of field lines by accretion is balanced by the outward field diffusion. In this case,  $\eta/\nu \sim z_0/\varpi$ , where  $z_0$  is the vertical half-disk thickness and  $\varpi$  is the radial cylindrical coordinate.

In near field freezing conditions, the accretion flow generates a mean radial magnetic field from the mean vertical field.<sup>3</sup> This mean radial field changes the radial force balance and causes a sub-Keplerian rotation of the gas. If one neglects the disk self-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The field lines are bent because the sources of the disk magnetization are currents at infinity anchoring magnetic field lines to the parent cloud.

gravity and gas pressure, the force balance equation is

$$\varpi\Omega^2 = \frac{GM_*}{\varpi^2} - \frac{B_z B_{\varpi}^+}{2\pi\Sigma_{\varpi}},\tag{1}$$

where  $\Omega$  is the rotation rate, *G* is the gravitational constant,  $M_*$  is the stellar mass,  $B_z$  is the component of the magnetic field threading vertically through the disk, and  $B_{\varpi}^+$  is the steady state radial component of the magnetic field just above the disk that responds to the radial accretion flow,

$$B_{\varpi}^{+} = -\frac{z_{0}\nu}{\varpi\eta} \left(\frac{\varpi}{\Omega}\frac{d\Omega}{d\varpi}\right) B_{z}.$$
 (2)

We named the total radial mass surface density  $\Sigma_{\varpi}$  for further use in the vertical structure calculation. The rotation rate, given by the solution of the above equation, is smaller than the Keplerian value  $\Omega_{\rm K} = (GM_*/\varpi^3)^{1/2}$ , thanks to the extra support of the magnetic tension against gravity. In particular, S07 studied the case when the rotation rate is a constant fraction of the Keplerian speed,  $\Omega = f\Omega_{\rm K}$ , with the sub-Keplerian factor f < 1.

The stretching of the poloidal field by differential rotation produces an azimuthal field in the disk that, coupled with the radial field, exerts a mean stress and torques the gas, allowing the disk viscous evolution. S07 proposed a functional form for the viscosity based on mixing length arguments,

$$\nu = D \frac{B_z^2 z_0}{2\pi \Sigma_{\varpi} \Omega},\tag{3}$$

where  $D \leq 1$  is a dimensionless viscosity coefficient.<sup>4</sup> This coefficient acquires small values if there are substantial "dead zones," where the MRI would occur only on surface layers. S07 proposed that rapid transport of mass and magnetic fluctuations across strong mean field lines can occur through the reconnection of small magnetic loops that are twisted and bent by the turbulent flow; this process was seen as the source of the disk viscous and resistive diffusivities.

With the viscosity  $\nu$  given by Equation (3), and assuming a power-law disk aspect ratio  $A(\varpi) = z_0/\varpi \propto \varpi^n$ , S07 constructed full steady state radial models of thin magnetized disks around young stars. The radial structure of these magnetized disks is given by Equations ((63)–(69)) of S07. Four models are shown in their Table 2, which were calculated for an aspect ratio  $A(\varpi) = A_0(\varpi/100 \text{ AU})^{1/4}$ , and assuming a mass-toflux-ratio of the star plus disk system,  $\lambda_{sys} = 4$ . Given the stellar mass  $M_*$ , the disk accretion rate  $\dot{M}_d$ , the system age  $t_{age}$ , and the viscosity coefficient D, they calculated the sub-Keplerian factor f, the disk radius  $R_d$ , and the disk mass  $M_d$ . As mentioned, f is constant, independent of radius.

The S07 model assumes that the entire magnetic flux brought in by star formation is contained in the disk, which gives the condition (S07 Equation (60))

$$1 - f^2 = \frac{0.5444}{\lambda_{\rm sys}^2} \left(\frac{M_*}{M_d}\right).$$
 (4)

For a closed star plus disk system in which infall has ceased, the mass-to-flux ratio  $\lambda_{sys}$  remains constant. Disk accretion decreases the disk mass  $M_d$  relative to stellar mass  $M_*$ , so the departure from Keplerian rotation,  $(1 - f^2)$ , must grow with time. This happens because viscosity drains mass from the disk onto the star, while resistivity can only cause the redistribution of flux within the disk; it cannot change the total flux. Thus, f decreases with time (i.e., the disk becomes more sub-Keplerian and magnetized with time). S07 showed that, even in the case of a strong poloidal field, their disk models fulfill the condition to develop the MRI (i.e., that the gas to magnetic pressure  $\beta > 1$ .). Nevertheless, the stability of these disks to the MRI has not been studied. Hereafter, we assume that the MRI operates and we calculate the heating due to the associated viscosity. This problem is further discussed in Section 6.

Finally, in steady state, the energy flux has to carry the sum of the energies generated by viscous and resistive dissipation inside the disk. At each radius, the viscous dissipation rate per unit area gives the flux  $\{ erg \ s^{-1} \ cm^{-2} \}$ 

$$F_{\nu,\varpi} = \nu \Sigma_{\varpi} \left( \varpi \frac{d\Omega}{d\varpi} \right)^2 = \frac{9}{4} \nu f^2 \Omega_{\rm K}^2 \Sigma_{\varpi}.$$
 (5)

From Equations (11), (12), and (33) of S07, the flux due to resistive dissipation is

$$F_{r,\varpi} = \left(\frac{cB_{\varpi}^+}{2\pi}\right) \left(-\frac{u}{c}B_z\right) = \frac{\eta}{z_0} \frac{(B_{\varpi}^+)^2}{2\pi} = \frac{3}{2} \frac{\nu}{\varpi} \frac{B_{\varpi}^+ B_z}{2\pi}, \quad (6)$$

where u is the radial accretion velocity and c is the speed of light. In steady state, the internal energy flux

$$F_{\rm vr,\varpi} = F_{\nu,\varpi} + F_{r,\varpi} \tag{7}$$

carries away the energy generated by viscous and resistive dissipation inside the disk.

In the next section we discuss the equations of the vertical structure of magnetized disks, including the surface irradiation by a central source.

# 3. VERTICAL STRUCTURE OF MAGNETIZED VISCOUS DISKS

The equations for the vertical structure are derived following D'Alessio et al. (1998). We use the radial structure of the S07 models discussed previously for a thin cold accretion disk with negligible mass compared to the central star. The magnetized disk is subject to both viscous and resistive heating, and is also irradiated by the central star. The stellar radiation flux  $F_{irr}$  will penetrate the disk atmosphere down to the irradiation surface  $z_{irr}$ , where the optical depth is one. For simplicity, we assume that the sub-Keplerian factor *f* is constant with height, because the vertical variation of the azimuthal velocity is small in thin disks (e.g., Li 1995). We also assume that the viscosity and resistivity coefficients are independent of *z* (see discussion in Section 6).

We use as the independent variable the midplane mass surface density defined as

$$\Sigma(\varpi, z) = \int_0^z \rho(\varpi, z) dz,$$
(8)

where  $\rho(\varpi, z)$  is the density and z is the height, such that the total radial mass surface density, integrated from the disk

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Note that Equation (3) is a prescription for the turbulent viscosity, and only local transport is considered.

surface  $z_{\infty}$  below and above the plane, is

$$\Sigma_{\varpi} = \int_{-z_{\infty}}^{z_{\infty}} \rho dz = 2 \Sigma(\varpi, z_{\infty}), \qquad (9)$$

where the surface  $z_{\infty}$  is defined as the height where the disk pressure is equal to an external pressure  $P_{\infty}$ .

Following Calvet et al. (1991), the thermal source function B(T) is given by the superposition of the source function of a nonirradiated viscous and resistive disk with temperature  $T_{\rm vr}$ , plus the source function of an irradiated passive disk without internal energy sources that reprocess the stellar radiation and has a temperature  $T_{\rm rp}$ . Appendix A discusses the first and second moments of the transport equations for the viscous resistive flux  $F_{\rm vr}$  and mean intensity  $J_{\rm vr}$  of the nonirradiated viscous resistive disk, Equations (34)–(35), and the reprocessed flux  $F_{\rm rp}$  and mean intensity  $J_{\rm rp}$  of the passive irradiated disk, Equations (43)–(44). Because the transport equations are linear in the fluxes, they can be added such that the local disk temperature T and mean intensity J{erg cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> sr<sup>-1</sup>} are given by

$$T^4 = T_{\rm vr}^4 + T_{\rm rp}^4$$
, and  $J = J_{\rm vr} + J_{\rm rp}$ , (10)

and the equation of energy transport in the disk becomes

$$\frac{\sigma T^4}{\pi} = J + \frac{1}{4\pi\kappa_{\rm P}} \frac{d(F_{\rm vr} + F_{\rm rp})}{d\Sigma},\tag{11}$$

where  $\sigma$  is the Stephen–Boltzmann constant and  $\kappa_{\rm P}$  is the Planck mean opacity. With the total disk flux  $F_{\rm vr} + F_{\rm rp}$  given by Equations (32) and (41), the energy equation simply becomes an algebraic equation for the temperature. The equation for total mean intensity *J* becomes

$$\frac{dJ}{d\Sigma} = -\frac{3}{4\pi} \chi_{\rm R} (F_{\rm vr} + F_{\rm rp}), \qquad (12)$$

where  $\chi_{\rm R}$  is the Rosseland mean opacity.

The equation of hydrostatic equilibrium in the vertical direction is

$$\frac{dP}{d\Sigma} = -\frac{GM_*}{\varpi^3} \frac{z}{\left[1 + \left(\frac{z}{\varpi}\right)^2\right]^{3/2}} - \frac{dP_{\text{rad}}}{d\Sigma} - \frac{1}{8\pi} \frac{dB_{\varpi}^2}{d\Sigma}, \quad (13)$$

where *P* is the gas pressure,  $P_{rad}$  is the radiation pressure, and the last term is the magnetic pressure, where the azimuthal component of the field is neglected. With the same assumptions as in Equation (1), the radial force balance at each height gives

$$\frac{B_z}{4\pi} \frac{dB_{\varpi}}{d\Sigma} = \varpi \Omega_{\rm K}^2 [1 - f^2], \tag{14}$$

where the net radial force associated with the departure from Keplerian rotation is balanced by the magnetic tension due to the bending of the poloidal field lines. Because the righthand side (rhs) of this equation is a function of the radius only, the radial component of the field,  $B_{\varpi}$ , is a linear function of  $\Sigma$ ,  $B_{\varpi} = 2B_{\varpi}^+(\Sigma/\Sigma_{\varpi})$ . The radiation pressure force due to the viscous resistive, reprocessed, and scattered fluxes in the positive *z* direction, minus the radiation pressure of the stellar flux  $F_{\rm irr}$  (Equation (49)) that enters the disk at the upper surface, is

$$\frac{dP_{\rm rad}}{d\Sigma} = \frac{\chi_{\rm R}}{c} [F_{\rm vr} + F_{\rm rp}] + \frac{\chi_{\rm P}^3}{c} [F_s - F_{\rm irr} \exp^{-\tau_s/\mu_0}], \quad (15)$$

$$=\frac{\chi_{\rm R}}{c}F_{\rm vr} + \frac{(\chi_{\rm R} - \chi_{\rm P}^s)}{c}F_{\rm rp},\tag{16}$$

where  $F_s$  is the scattered flux,  $\tau_s$  is the optical depth to the stellar radiation,  $\chi_p^s$  is the Planck mean opacity at the stellar temperature (see Equation (37)), and the last equality comes from the zero flux condition in Equation (41).

For an ideal gas, the pressure is

$$P = \frac{\rho kT}{\mu m_{\rm H}},\tag{17}$$

where k is the Boltzmann constant,  $\mu$  is the mean molecular weight, and  $m_{\rm H}$  is the hydrogen mass. From the definition  $d\Sigma = \rho dz$ , one obtains a differential equation for the height

$$\frac{dz}{d\Sigma} = \frac{kT}{\mu m_{\rm H} P}.$$
(18)

Finally, the vertical structure is given by one algebraic equation for the temperature (11) and three differential Equations, (12), (13), and (18), with BCs imposed at the upper disk boundary where

$$z(\Sigma_{\varpi}/2) = z_{\infty}, \quad P(\Sigma_{\varpi}/2) = P_{\infty},$$
  
and  $J(\Sigma_{\varpi}/2) = J_{\infty},$  (19)

where the mean intensity and flux at the disk surface  $z_{\infty}$  is obtained from Equations (36) and (45),

$$J_{\infty} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4\pi} F_{\infty}$$
, and  $F_{\infty} = \frac{F_{\text{vr},\varpi}}{2} + F_{\text{irr}} a_s (1 + C_1 + C_2),$ 
(20)

where the fractional absorption  $a_s$  is defined by Equation (40), and the constants  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are given by Equation (42).

This set of equations is then solved for the temperature T, the mean intensity J, the pressure P, and the height z as functions of the midplane surface density  $\Sigma$ . The disk vertical structure is thus obtained for each radius  $\varpi$ .

In the next section, we present the non-dimensional equations that we solve.

#### 3.1. Non-Dimensional Equations

We define the non-dimensional surface density and radius

$$s = \frac{\Sigma}{\Sigma_{\varpi}};$$
 and  $r = \frac{\varpi}{z_{\infty}}.$ 

At each radius r, the non-dimensional height, temperature, mean intensity, and pressure are defined as

$$\zeta = \frac{z}{z_{\infty}}; \quad t = \frac{T}{T_{\infty}}; \quad j = \frac{J}{J_{\infty}}; \quad \text{and} \quad p = \frac{P}{\Sigma_{\varpi} \Omega_{\mathrm{K}}^2 z_{\infty}};$$

where the temperature constant is  $T_{\infty} = (F_{\infty}/\sigma)^{1/4}$ . The non-dimensional vertical energy fluxes are

$$f_{\rm vr} = rac{F_{\rm vr}}{F_{\infty}}, \quad f_{\rm rp} = rac{F_{\rm rp}}{F_{\infty}}, \quad {\rm and} \quad f_{\rm irr} = rac{F_{\rm irr}}{F_{\infty}},$$

and the non-dimensional total viscous resistive energy flux is  $f_{\rm vr,r} = F_{\rm vr,\varpi}/F_{\infty}$ .

For uniform dissipation  $f_{vr} = f_{vr,r} s$ , the transport equations are the energy equation

$$4t^4 = \sqrt{3}j + \frac{1}{\Sigma_{\varpi}\kappa_{\rm P}} \left( f_{\rm vr,r} + \frac{df_{\rm rp}}{ds} \right), \tag{21}$$

where

$$\frac{df_{\rm rp}}{ds} = \Sigma_{\varpi} \chi_{\rm P}^s f_{\rm irr} a_s \left( \frac{(1+C_{\rm l})}{\mu_0} e^{-\tau_s/\mu_0} + \beta_s C_2 e^{-\beta\tau_s} \right), \quad (22)$$

 $\beta_s = \sqrt{3a_s}$ , and the opacity to the stellar radiation is

$$\tau_s = \Sigma_{\varpi} \int_s^{1/2} \chi_{\rm P}^s ds; \qquad (23)$$

and the mean intensity equation

$$\frac{dj}{ds} = -\sqrt{3} \Sigma_{\varpi} \chi_{\rm R} \left( f_{\rm vr,r} \, s + f_{\rm rp} \right). \tag{24}$$

The hydrostatic equilibrium equation is

$$\frac{dp}{ds} = -\frac{\zeta}{\left(1 + \left(\frac{\zeta}{r}\right)^2\right)^{3/2}} - C_3 \frac{dp_{\rm rad}}{ds} - C_4 s, \qquad (25)$$

where the radiation pressure force is

$$-\frac{dp_{\rm rad}}{ds} = \Sigma_{\varpi} \chi_{\rm R} f_{\rm vr,r} \ s + \Sigma_{\varpi} (\chi_{\rm R} - \chi_{\rm P}^s) f_{\rm rp}.$$
(26)

Finally, the height equation is

$$\frac{d\zeta}{ds} = C_5 \frac{t}{p\mu}.$$
(27)

In the above equation, the non-dimensional constants are

$$C_{3} = \frac{F_{\infty}}{c\Sigma_{\varpi}\Omega_{\rm K}^{2}z_{\infty}},$$

$$C_{4} = \frac{(B_{\varpi}^{+})^{2}}{\pi\Sigma_{\varpi}\Omega_{\rm K}^{2}z_{\infty}}, \quad \text{and} \quad C_{5} = \frac{kT_{\infty}}{m_{\rm H}\Omega_{\rm K}^{2}z_{\infty}^{2}}.$$
(28)

Given the irradiation flux at the disk surface,  $f_{irr}$ , Equations (21)–(27) can be solved for the non-dimensional temperature t(s), mean intensity j(s), pressure p(s), and height  $\zeta(s)$ . The BCs at the upper boundary s = 1/2 are given by

$$j(1/2) = 1;$$
  
 $p(1/2) = P_{\infty}/(\Sigma_{\varpi}\Omega_{K}^{2}z_{\infty});$  and  $\zeta(1/2) = 1.$  (29)

There is an extra condition at the midplane

$$\zeta(0) = 0, \tag{30}$$

that is satisfied for the appropriate eigenvalue  $z_{\infty}$ , which determines the constants  $C_3$ ,  $C_4$ ,  $C_5$ , and the boundary value p(1/2). This eigenvalue has to be found by iteration process, as discussed in the next section.

Finally, this set of equations is solved for each nondimensional radius r to build the full disk vertical structure.

#### LIZANO ET AL.

# 4. METHOD OF SOLUTION

We use Equations (63), (64), and (67) of **S07** to obtain the disk radial structure: the total surface density  $\Sigma_{\varpi}$ , the vertical and radial magnetic fields  $B_z(\varpi)$  and  $B_{\varpi}^+(\varpi)$ , and the viscosity  $\nu(\varpi)$ . From Equation (7), one obtains the total viscous resistive flux at each radius  $F_{vr,\varpi}$ , which is one of the model's main ingredients.

The first step is to solve for the vertical structure of a nonirradiated viscous resistive disk with  $f_{\rm irr} = 0$ . The disk surface  $z_{\infty}$  that is an eigenvalue is estimated, and constants  $C_3$ ,  $C_4$ ,  $C_5$  (Equation (28)) and the boundary value p(1/2) in Equation (29) are calculated. The differential equations Equations (21)–(27) are integrated from the surface s = 1/2 toward the midplane s = 0. In general, as a result of the integration,  $\zeta(0) \neq 0$ . Then  $z_{\infty}$  is modified until the eigenvalue is found, such that  $\zeta(0) = 0$ , and this eigenvalue is used as a first guess for the irradiated disk structure.

Given the disk vertical structure with  $f_{irr} = 0$ , the irradiation surface  $z_{irr}$ , the angle of irradiation  $\mu_0$ , and the intercepted flux  $f_{irr}$  on this surface are calculated, as shown in Appendix B. Then, the equations for the vertical disk structure are solved using the same iteration process as discussed previously, until  $\zeta(0) = 0$ , to obtain a new structure and disk surface  $z_{\infty}$  for the whole disk. Given this disk structure, a new irradiation surface, angle  $\mu_0$ , and irradiation flux  $f_{irr}$  is obtained at each radius to calculate a new vertical structure. This procedure is repeated until the surface  $z_{\infty}$  converges.

For the dust composition we adopt a mixture of silicates, organics, and ice with a mass fractional abundance with respect to gas  $\zeta_{sil} = 3.4 \times 10^{-3}$ ,  $\zeta_{org} = 4.1 \times 10^{-3}$ , and  $\zeta_{ice} = 5.6 \times 10^{-3}$ , with bulk densities  $\rho_{sil} = 3.3 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ ,  $\rho_{org} = 1.5 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ , and  $\rho_{ice} = 0.92 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$  (e.g., Pollack et al. 1000). The dust as the last set of last set set of last set se 1994). The dust particles have a power-law size distribution,  $n(a) \sim a^p$ , with exponent p = 3.5, a minimum grain size  $a_{\min} = 0.005 \ \mu \text{m}$ , and maximum grain size  $a_{\max} = 1 \ \text{mm}$ , and are considered compact. The value of  $a_{max}$  is consistent with evidence of grain growth in millimeter spectral energy distributions of protoplanetary disks around YSOs (see, e.g., Ricci et al. 2010). With this dust mixture, we obtain the Planck and Rosseland mean opacities at both the dust and the stellar temperatures. We assume well mixed dust and gas, and leave the effect of dust settling (e.g., D'Alessio et al. 2006; Guilloteau et al. 2011; Boehler et al. 2013; Gräfe et al. 2013) and dust radial migration (e.g., Brauer et al. 2007; Birnstiel et al. 2010; Pérez et al. 2012, 2015) for a future study.

#### 5. RESULTS

We obtain the vertical structure of a low-mass protostar disk (LMP), a FU Ori disk, and a T Tauri disk around a central star with a mass  $M_* = 0.5M_{\odot}$ . We consider the standard disk models of S07 with a mass-to-flux ratio  $\lambda_{sys} = 4$ , as shown in their Table 2. This table gives the values of the mass accretion rate  $\dot{M}_d$ , the viscosity coefficient *D*, the disk mass  $M_d$ , and sub-Keplerian parameter *f*. To irradiate the disk, we assume a central source characterized by a stellar radius  $R_*$  and an effective temperature  $T_{eff} = (L_c/4\pi R_*^2 \sigma)^{1/4}$ , such that it produces the total central source luminosity  $L_c$  (accretion plus stellar luminosities). The FU Ori case has the highest accretion rate and thus, the highest value of  $T_{eff}$ . These parameters are sumarized in Table 1.

Table 1						
Model	Parameters					

YSO	$\dot{M}_d$ $(M_\odot \mathrm{yr}^{-1})$	D	$M_d$ $(M_{\odot})$	f	$R_*$ $(R_{\odot})$	$L_c$ $(L_{\odot})$	T <sub>eff</sub> (K)
LMP T Tauri	$2 \times 10^{-6}$ $1 \times 10^{-8}$ $2 \times 10^{-4}$	$1 \\ 10^{-2.5}$	0.2 0.03	0.957 0.658	3 2 7	7.1 0.93	5490 4040

To compare with observations, at each radius we define the observed surface density,  $\Sigma_{obs}$ , measured from the disk surface  $z_{\infty}$  toward the midplane, such that

$$\Sigma_{\rm obs}(z) = \frac{\Sigma_{\varpi}}{2} - \Sigma(z). \tag{31}$$

Figures (1)-(3) show the vertical structure of the LMP, T Tauri, and FU Ori disk, respectively. In each figure, the upper panel includes only the viscous heating  $F_{\nu,\varpi}$  in Equation (5); the middle panels considers only the resistive heating  $F_{r,\varpi}$ in Equation (6); and the bottom panel shows the vertical structure of the disk, taking into account both viscous and resistive heating  $F_{vr,\varpi}$ . The dashed red line shows the irradiation surface  $z_{irr}$ . To quantify the location of the disk mass, we define the disk mass surface,  $\pm z_{90}$ , as the surface that contains 90% of the total surface density,  $\Sigma_{\varpi}$ , above and below the midplane (i.e.,  $\pm z_{90} = \pm z (0.45 \Sigma_{\varpi})$ .). This surface is shown as the dotted-dashed blue line in every panel. To calculate the radial structure we assign this surface to the vertical half-disk thickness  $z_0$  in the S07 model (i.e., the aspect ratio for the radial structure in Equations (63), (64), and (67) of S07 is given by  $A(\varpi) = A_{\lambda_{sys}}(\varpi/100 \text{ AU})^{1/4}$ , where  $A_{\lambda_{\text{sys}}} \equiv z_{90} (100 \text{ AU})/100 \text{ AU}.$  We iterate the models until this surface is fixed and obtain  $A_4 = 0.156, 0.013, \text{ and } 0.102,$ for the LMP, T Tauri, and FU Ori disk, respectively.

The irradiation flux heats the hot upper atmosphere, whereas the midplane can be dominated by the viscous and resistive heating. In the S07 models, the ratio of resistive to viscous dissipation is given by the factor  $2(1 - f^2)/3f^2$  (see their Equations (32) and (33)). For the case of the LMP disk with the sub-Keplerian parameter  $f_4 = 0.957$ , the ratio is  $F_{r,\varpi}/F_{\nu,\varpi} \sim 0.06$ , (i.e., the resistive heating is negligible compared to the viscous heating). Thus, the viscous model (upper panel) in Figure (1) is hotter in the midplane than the resistive model (middle panel), and viscous heating dominates the thermal structure in the bottom panel. For the case of the T Tauri disk with  $f_4 = 0.658$ , the ratio is  $F_{r,\varpi}/F_{\nu,\varpi} \sim 0.87$ , (i.e., both fluxes have similar contributions). For the case of the FU Ori disk,  $f_4 = 0.386$ , and the ratio is  $F_{r,\varpi}/F_{v,\varpi} \sim 3.8$ . Thus, the resistive FU Ori model in Figure (3) is hotter in the midplane than the viscous model, and resistive heating dominates the thermal structure in the bottom panel. In addition, the LMP and the FU Ori disks are hotter and thicker than the T Tauri disk, which is highly compressed by the magnetic pressure and is very flat and cold.

Figure 4 shows the dominant heating sources inside the LMP, T Tauri, and FU Ori disks in the bottom panels of Figures (1)–(3). The dotted–dashed blue line in each panel is  $z_{90}$ . The yellow zone at the disk midplane is the so-called active zone where the viscous resistive heating determines the disk temperature, (i.e.,  $T_{\rm vr} \ge T_{\rm rp}$ , see Equation (11)). In this zone the temperature decreases with height. The red zone indicates the region where the irradiation flux is absorbed; this heating

decreases with the depth measured from the disk surface as  $\tau_s$  increases.<sup>5</sup> Both effects produce a temperature inversion at the base of the hot atmosphere. In the orange zone, the mean intensity  $J_{\rm rp}$  dominates the heating. In this region, when  $F_{\rm rp} \sim 0$ ,  $J_{\rm rp}$  is constant (Equation (44)); thus, at large radii, midplane passive regions tend to be vertically isothermal. The solid red lines correspond to the ratio  $\mathcal{R} \equiv (T_{\rm rp}/T_{\rm vr})^4 = 1$ , the dashed red line corresponds to  $\mathcal{R} = 2$ , and the dotted red line corresponds to the heating inside  $\mathcal{R} \sim 3$  and deviates the temperature from the vertically isothermal regime.

The base of the hot atmosphere is easily identified by the location of a sharp transition in the temperature isocontours (elbow) due to the temperature inversion discussed previously. The figures show that the hot atmosphere extends close to the mass surface  $z_{90}$  such that it contains  $\leq 10\%$  of the total surface density  $\Sigma_{\varpi}$ , with half the mass on each atmosphere above and below the midplane. One expects the extension of the hot atmosphere to change in models that consider dust settling, where only small grains ( $a < 10 \ \mu$ m) survive in the upper disk layers. On the one hand, small grains will absorb the irradiation flux more efficiently than in the well mixed case we consider here; however, on the other hand, a lower opacity is expected in the atmosphere because the dust mass has settled to the midplane (D'Alessio et al. 2006). This will be a subject of a future study.

Figure 5 shows the mass-weighted disk temperature as a function of radius for the LMP, T Tauri, and FU Ori disks, as indicated in each panel. The dotted red line in each panel corresponds to the reprocessed temperature  $\langle T_{\rm rp} \rangle$  due to the external heating by the central source. The dotted-dashed blue line in each panel corresponds to the viscous and resistive temperature  $\langle T_{
m vr} 
angle$  due to the internal heating. The solid black line indicates the total temperature  $\langle T \rangle$  that takes into account both external and internal heating (Equation (10)). The intersection between the  $\langle T_{\rm vr} \rangle$  and  $\langle T_{\rm rp} \rangle$  curves at  $R_{\rm active}$  gives an estimate of the border between the active region, where internal heating dominates, and the passive region dominated by the external heating. The size of the active region corresponds to the radial size of the region with  $\mathcal{R} = 1$  in Figure 4. In the upper panel of the LMP disk,  $R_{\text{active}} \sim 25$  AU. In contrast, the midplane of both the T Tauri and FU Ori disks is active. The mass-weighted temperature in the active regions follows a power law  $\langle T \rangle \sim \overline{\varpi}^{-1}$ , as shown by the dashed blue lines in each panel. The dashed red line in the top panel shows that the temperature in the passive region of the LMP disk has a shallower slope  $\langle T \rangle \sim \varpi^{-3/4}$ , which is the expected value for flat passive disks with  $z_{\rm irr} \propto \varpi$ (e.g., Friedjung 1985). From the model emission, one could mimic the observational procedure used to obtain the radial dependence of the disk temperature to compare with observations at a given set of wavelengths (e.g., Guilloteau et al. 2011).

# 6. DISCUSSION

In the previous section we found the vertical structure of disk models with a mass-to-flux ratio of  $\lambda_{sys} = 4$ , which corresponds to the standard models discussed by S07. These disks are strongly magnetized and compressed by both gravity and magnetic pressure. In the case of the T Tauri disk,

 $<sup>\</sup>frac{1}{5}$  The gradient is negative with respect to  $\Sigma_{\rm obs}$ , (i.e.,  $F_{\rm rp} \rightarrow 0$  inside the disk).



Figure 1. Vertical structure of the low-mass protostar (LMP) disk with a mass-to-flux ratio  $\lambda_{sys} = 4$ : the contours show the temperature and the colorscale represents the surface density measured from the disk surface  $\Sigma_{obs}$ . The dashed red line shows the irradiation surface  $z_{irr}$ . The dotted–dashed blue line shows the disk mass surface  $z_{90}$ . The model in the upper panel includes only viscous heating, in the middle panel only resistive heating, and the lower panel includes both viscous and resistive heating.

the magnetic pressure dominates the compression and the disk is very thin. Furthermore, the disk is cold because it intercepts little stellar irradiation. For the latter reason, as shown above, the disk has a large active region. In fact, the T Tauri disk has an aspect ratio at 100 AU,  $A_4 = 0.013$ . Nevertheless, observations of disks around T Tauri stars, assuming isothermal vertical structures, infer scale heights at 100 AU,  $H \sim 4-20$  AU (e.g., Pinte et al. 2008; Andrews et al. 2009; Gräfe et al. 2013). These values of H would correspond

to aspect ratios  $z_{90}/100 \text{ AU} \sim \sqrt{2} H/100 \text{ AU} \sim 0.06-0.28$  larger than the T Tauri disk model.

For this reason it is relevant to consider disk models with a weaker magnetic field. The disk properties change for different values of  $\lambda_{sys}$ : for the same stellar mass  $M_*$  and disk mass  $M_d$ , but larger values of  $\lambda_{sys}$  (lower magnetization), the sub-Keplerian factor f given by Equation (4) is closer to one, decreasing the contribution of resistive heating. The different value of f changes the disk radial surface density  $\Sigma_{zz}$  and disk



Figure 2. Vertical structure of the T Tauri disk with a mass-to-flux ratio  $\lambda_{sys} = 4$ ; same description as in Figure 1.

size (for the same disk mass). We calculate disks models with  $\lambda_{sys} = 12$ , for the parameters given in Table 1 (except *f*). Figure 6 shows the vertical structure of the LMP, T Tauri, and FU Ori disk. These models are warmer and thicker than the  $\lambda_{sys} = 4$  models.

Table 2 shows the values of the sub-Keplerian parameter  $f_{\lambda_{sys}}$ and the aspect ratio  $A_{\lambda_{sys}}$  at 100 AU for the models with massto-flux ratio  $\lambda_{sys} = 4$  and  $\lambda_{sys} = 12$ . The aspect ratio is given by  $A = A_{\lambda_{sys}} (\varpi/100 \text{ AU})^{-1/4}$ . For the models with  $\lambda_{sys} = 12$ , the resistive dissipation is only 1%, 5%, and 7% of the viscous heating for the LMP, T Tauri, and FU Ori disk, respectively. The weakly magnetized T Tauri disk has an aspect ratio of  $A_{12} = 0.109$ , more consistent with values inferred from observations.

In addition, Table 2 shows the values of the surface density at 100 AU for models with different mass-to-flux ratios  $\Sigma_{\lambda_{sys}}$ where the radial surface density is given by  $\Sigma = \Sigma_{\lambda_{sys}} (\varpi/100 \text{ AU})^{-3/4}$ . It also shows the disk radii  $R_{d,\lambda_{sys}}$ given by Equation (65) of S07, using the corresponding total disk mass in Table 1. The models with  $\lambda_{sys} = 12$  have larger surface density (smaller disk radii) than the models with  $\lambda_{sys} = 4$  because the viscosity decreases and the mass accretion rate, proportional to  $\Sigma_{\varpi}\nu$ , is constant. The change in surface



Figure 3. Vertical structure of the FU Ori disk with a mass-to-flux ratio  $\lambda_{sys} = 4$ ; same description as in Figure 1.

density has important implications on the disk structure, as shown in Figure 7 in comparison with the models in Figure 4. This figure shows the active and passive regions for the LMP, T Tauri, and FU Ori disk. Because the surface density increases by factors of 4-5 in the LMP and the FU Ori disks, the active regions (yellow) are larger than the previous models, since the stellar irradiation cannot penetrate much into the midplane. Instead, the density of the T Tauri disk increases only by a factor of 1.5, while the aspect ratio  $A_{12}$  increases by a factor of 8. Then, the active region of the T Tauri disk decreases because the disk is more flared and intercepts more stellar flux. Extensive modeling and spectra of magnetized disks around different YSOs, including an exploration of the parameter space, will be presented in a forthcoming paper (C. Tapia et al. 2015, in preparation).

To calculate the vertical structure of the S07 radial models of magnetized disks, we assumed for simplicity a uniform viscous and resistive dissipation rate (Equation (33)), independent of the height z. One could assume instead a viscosity  $\nu(z)$  proportional to the local sound speed a, because in the S07

LIZANO ET AL.



Figure 4. Dominant heating sources inside the LMP, T Tauri, and FU Ori disks with a mass-to-flux ratio  $\lambda_{sys} = 4$ : the yellow zone is the active zone where the viscous resistive heating dominates; in the orange zone the reprocessed mean intensity  $J_{rp}$  dominates; and in the red zone the irradiation flux is absorbed. The dotted–dashed blue line in each panel is surface  $z_{90}$ . The solid red lines correspond to the ratio  $\mathcal{R} \equiv (T_{rp}/T_{vr})^4 = 1$ , the dashed red lines correspond to  $\mathcal{R} = 2$ , and the dotted red lines correspond  $\mathcal{R} = 3$ .

models the viscosity  $\nu$  can also be written as a Shakura– Sunyaev viscosity  $\nu = \alpha a^2/\Omega_{\rm K}$  (Equation (49) of S07). We also assumed that the viscous and resistive heating occur throughout the disk, even though for the T Tauri disk the viscosity coefficient  $D \sim 10^{-2.5}$  takes into account a reduced efficiency in the viscous transport (S07). Nevertheless, the models presented here can be modified to consider layered accretion, with viscous heating occurring only in the surface layers. To do this in a self consistent way, one needs to calculate the cosmic ray, X-ray, thermal, and radioactive ionization to obtain the extent of the so-called "dead zones" in these magnetized disk models (e.g., Umebayashi & Nakano 2009; Cleeves et al. 2013). We leave this problem for future study.

A relevant question is whether the S07 models of magnetized accreting disks threaded by a poloidal magnetic



**Figure 5.** Mass weighted temperature for LMP, T Tauri, and FU Ori disks as functions of the radius ( $\lambda_{sys} = 4$ ). The dotted red lines correspond to the reprocessed temperature  $\langle T_{rp} \rangle$  due to the external heating by the central source. The dotted–dashed blue lines correspond to the viscous resistive temperature  $\langle T_{vr} \rangle$  due to internal heating. The temperature  $\langle T \rangle$ , solid black line, takes into account both external and internal heating. The dashed blue lines in each panel have a slope s = -1. In the upper panel of the LMP disk, the dashed red line has a slope s = -3/4.

field are unstable to the MRI. Since the seminal papers on the MRI of Balbus & Hawley (1991; hearafter BH91) and Hawley & Balbus (1991), several authors have studied the stability of different magnetized disks models. For example, Pessah & Psaltis (2005) studied the stability of polytropic magnetized disks with superthermal toroidal fields, including magnetic tension forces. The critical wavenumber for instability is

modified with respect to the value of BH91. They recovered the dispersion relation obtained by several authors in different limits—no field curvature (Blaes & Balbus 1994), no compressibility (Dubrulle & Knobloch 1993), and cold limit with no field curvature (Kim & Ostriker 2000)—and thoroughly discussed the origin of the instabilities and approximations in the different regimes. In addition, Ogilvie



Figure 6. Vertical structure of a low-mass protostar LMP, T Tauri, and FU Ori disk, with a mass-to-flux ratio  $\lambda_{sys} = 12$ . The contours show the temperature and the colorscale represents the surface density measured from the disk surface  $\Sigma_{obs}$ . The dashed red line shows the irradiation surface  $z_{irr}$ . The dotted–dashed blue line shows the disk mass surface  $z_{00}$ . The models include both viscous and resistive heating.

Table 2						
Models w	th Different	Mass-to-flux	Ratio	$\lambda_{ m sys}$		

YSO	$f_4$	$A_4$	$\Sigma_4$	$R_{d,4}$	$f_{12}$	A <sub>12</sub>	$\Sigma_{12}$	$R_{d,12}$
			$(g \text{ cm}^{-2})$	(AU)			$(g \text{ cm}^{-2})$	(AU)
LMP	0.957	0.156	5.33	455	0.995	0.309	25.2	131
T Tauri	0.658	0.013	10.4	58.2	0.968	0.109	16.5	40.4
FU Ori	0.386	0.102	33.0	16.8	0.952	0.525	142	5.22

Note. The disk aspect ratio is  $A(\varpi) = A_{\lambda_{sys}}(\varpi/100 \text{ AU})^{1/4}$ . The surface density is  $\Sigma(\varpi) = \Sigma_{\lambda_{sys}}(\varpi/100 \text{ AU})^{-3/4}$ .

(1998) studied the stability of polytropic rotating disks threaded by a poloidal magnetic field, but without mass accretion. In particular, the S07 models satisfy the general criterion that the ratio of the gas pressure to the magnetic pressure  $\beta > 1$ . This criterion obtained by BH91 comes from the condition that the shortest wavelength unstable mode fits the vertical disk size. For the local model considered by BH9, the normalized critical wavenumber parameter for the MRI is  $q_{\rm crit} = k_{z,{\rm crit}} v_{{\rm A},z}/\Omega = |-2(d \ln \Omega/d \ln \varpi)|^{1/2}$ , which has the value  $q_{\rm crit} = 3^{1/2}$  for Keplerian rotation. Assuming a thermal



Figure 7. Dominant heating sources inside the LMP, T Tauri, and FU Ori disks with  $\lambda_{svs} = 12$ ; same description as in Figure 4.

disk scale height  $H_{\text{thermal}} = \sqrt{2} a / \Omega_{\text{K}}$ , the critical wavelength divided by the disk size is  $\lambda_{\text{crit}}/(2H_{\text{thermal}}) = \pi/(q_{\text{crit}}\beta^{1/2})$ . Thus, for  $\beta > 3^{1/2}$  the shortest wavelength unstable mode,  $\lambda_{\text{crit}}$ , fits the vertical disk size. Nevertheless, the S07 disk models are compressed by the poloidal magnetic field. From their Equation (46), the scale height of these magnetized disks can be written as  $H = H_{\text{thermal}}/c_B$  with  $c_B > 1$ . For computational purposes we choose to express the magnetic compression coefficient as  $c_B = [1 + I_l(1 - f^2)/A]^{1/2}$ , where  $I_l$  gives the magnetic field inclination angle (see Table 1 of S07), and the

aspect ratio  $A = H/\varpi$  is obtained from the vertical structure models (see Table 2). Thus, the ratio of the critical wavelength to the disk size is modified as  $\lambda_{crit}/(2H) = \pi c_B/(q_{crit}\beta^{1/2})$ . The value of the system mass-to-flux ratio  $\lambda_{sys}$  determines the level of the disk magnetization and the value of the coefficient  $c_B$ . The strongly magnetized models with mass-toflux ratio  $\lambda_{sys} = 4$  at 1 AU have  $c_B = 2.0$ , 15.5, 6.9 for the LMP, T Tauri, and LMP disks, respectively. The less magnetized  $\lambda_{sys} = 12$  models at 1 AU have  $c_B = 1.1$ , 2.1, 1.4 for the LMP, T Tauri, and LMP disks, respectively.

**Table 3** Elsässer Number and Plasma  $\beta$  at 1 AU

YSO	$\lambda_{ m sys}$	$A_{m,1}$	$\beta_1$
LMP	4	22.5	3.50
	12	11.8	20.5
T Tauri	4	$5.88 \times 10^4$	2.50
	12	$1.03 \times 10^{4}$	3.44
FU Ori	4	13.9	2.56
	12	6.66	5.55

The magnetic correction term decreases slowly with radius  $I_l(1-f^2)/A \propto (\varpi/AU)^{-1/4}$ , and  $c_B \to 1$ . If  $c_B \gg 1$ , one would expect the disk to be stable to the MRI. Instead, the low values of  $c_B$  for the models discussed in this work suggest that these disks can sustain the MRI, except maybe in the case of the strongly magnetized T Tauri disk with  $\lambda = 4$ . Table 3 shows the values of the Elsässer number  $A_m = v_A^2/(\eta \Omega)$  and plasma  $\beta = 2a^2/v_A^2$ , where the Alfvén speed is  $v_A = B/\sqrt{4\pi\rho}$ , for the S07 models at the disk midplane at 1 AU. The Elsässer number decreases slowly with radius as  $A_m = A_{m,1} (\varpi/1 \text{ AU})^{-1/4}$ . The gas to magnetic pressure increases slowly with radius as  $\beta = \beta_1 (\varpi/1 \text{ AU})^{1/4}$  for weakly magnetized disks, and is constant for strongly magnetized disks. Thus, the S07 models have both  $A_m > 1$ and  $\beta > 1$ , indicating that the disks should be unstable to the MRI. Nevertheless, one requires a detailed stability analysis of the S07 models, which is beyond the scope of this paper and we leave as future work.

As mentioned in the introduction, several authors have made simulations of magnetized disks including Ohmic resistivity, AD, and the Hall effect, and find that the MRI is suppressed and that a disk wind transports away the angular momentum transport driving the disk accretion is (e.g., Bai 2015; Gressel et al. 2015, without the Hall effect). These simulations consider weak magnetic fields in the sense that  $\beta \sim 10^{4-5}$ . In contrast, the S07 radial models have low values of  $\beta < 100$  throughout the disk, as shown in Table 3. It would be important to study magnetized disk simulations in the parameter regime of the S07 models, which would also take into account the back reaction of the magnetic field on the flow. Such simulations would help determine whether the MRI operates in the S07 models or if a basic assumption of these models, hydrostatic equilibrium, is flawed.

Finally, the vertical structure of the irradiated magnetized disk models studied in this work can be compared to other disk models of the vertical structure of nonmagnetic accretion disks commonly used in the literature. For example, Chiang & Goldreich (1997) modeled passive disks with two zones: an upper layer where the dust is heated by the stellar radiation and a midplane region heated by radiation reprocessed by the dust in the upper layer. The interior is isothermal and in hydrostatic equilibrium. These models are semi-analytic and easy to implement, but they do not solve for the vertical temperature gradients that one can see in Figures (1-3). They are also passive disk models, so they do not include viscous heating. On the other hand, the models of D'Alessio et al. (1998) solve for the temperature gradients and include both stellar irradiation and viscous heating. The models presented in this work also solve for the temperature gradients and include irradiation, viscous, and resistive heating. Furthermore, the magnetic field compression

can be important in the strongly magnetized disks, reducing their aspect ratio with respect to the nonmagnetic disk models.

### 7. CONCLUSIONS

This work presents the first models of the vertical structure of irradiated magnetized accretion disks threaded by a poloidal magnetic field dragged in during the process of disk formation. These disks are subject to viscous and resistive heating and to irradiation by the central star. We calculate the vertical structure of disks around LMP, FU Ori, and T Tauri stars using the radial models of S07, although our formalism can be applied to other models of the disk radial structure.

We considered strongly magnetized disks with a mass-toflux ratio  $\lambda_{sys} = 4$  and the parameters of the standard models of S07 in Table 1. We find that the T Tauri disks are compressed by the magnetic pressure and are very thin and cold compared with observations. The LMP disk midplane thermal structure is dominated by viscous heating while the FU Ori disk midplane thermal structure is dominated by resistive heating. The T Tauri disk midplane has similar contributions for the viscous and resistive heating.

Changing the mass-to-flux ratio  $\lambda_{sys}$  changes the disk structure. In particular, we considered a larger value  $\lambda_{sys} = 12$ (less magnetization), which increases the disk density and decreases the magnetic compression. In these models, the T Tauri disk has a larger aspect ratio, which is consistent with observations. Disk viscous heating dominates the midplane thermal structure because resistive heating is less than 7% of the viscous heating. In addition, the size of the active region in the weakly magnetized disks changes depending on which effect dominates: the disk becomes denser and the irradiation cannot penetrate, increasing the active region in the LMP and FU Ori disks, or the disk is more flared and intercepts more irradiation decreasing the active region in the T Tauri disk.

Surface irradiation by the central source produces hot atmospheres in the disks. These atmospheres (above and below the midplane) are spatially extended but contain little mass, less than 10% of the total mass surface density. The disks show large midplane areas with vertical temperature inversions from the midplane up to the base of the hot amosphere.

Finally, the radial and vertical structure of magnetized accretion disks around young stars and the importance of the resistive heating depend on the system mass-to-flux ratio  $\lambda_{sys}$ . One expects that in the near future ALMA will be able to measure magnetic fields and their morphology in protoplanetary disks directly through Zeeman splitting of the CN molecule and polarization of dust emission. Such measurements, together with the disk mass will observationally determine the relevant values of  $\lambda_{sys}$ , and thus, the importance of magnetic fields in the disk evolution, structure, and emission.

We thank the anonymous referee for very useful comments and suggestions that improved the presentation of this paper. S.L., C.T., and Y.B. acknowledge support by CONACyT 153522/238631 and DGAPA-UNAM IN100412/IN105815.

# APPENDIX A TRANSPORT EQUATIONS

For simplicity, we assume here that the energy flux is only radiative (i.e., convective and conductive fluxes will be ignored). Nevertheless, the Schwarzschild stability criterion for convection is checked at each step and, if necessary, the gradient can be modified following Mihalas (1978). In the few zones where convection occurs, we find that the temperature gradient is very close to the radiative gradient.

#### A.1. Non-irradiated Viscous and Resistive Disk

Consider a viscous and resistive disk without irradiation from the central star. Assuming a uniform dissipation rate (Equation (7)), the vertical energy flux is

$$F_{\rm vr}(\Sigma) = F_{\rm vr,\varpi} \frac{\Sigma}{\Sigma_{\varpi}},\tag{32}$$

where  $\Sigma$  is the midplane surface density defined by Equation (8). The vertical flux equation is

$$\frac{dF_{\rm vr}}{d\Sigma} = \frac{F_{\rm vr,\varpi}}{\Sigma_{\varpi}} = \frac{3}{2} \frac{\nu}{\Sigma_{\varpi}} \left(\frac{3}{2} f^2 \Sigma_{\varpi} \Omega_{\rm K}^2 + \frac{1}{\varpi} \frac{B_{\varpi}^+ B_z}{2\pi}\right), \quad (33)$$

where the total viscous resistive flux  $F_{vr,\varpi}$  emerges from both faces of the disk surface (half on each surface).

The frequency integrated first moment of the transport equation gives the algebraic equation

$$\frac{\sigma T_{\rm vr}^4}{\pi} = J_{\rm vr} + \frac{1}{4\pi\kappa_{\rm P}} \frac{dF_{\rm vr}}{d\Sigma},\tag{34}$$

where  $\kappa_{\rm P}$  is the Planck mean opacity,  $\sigma$  is the Stephan– Boltzmann constant, and  $T_{\rm vr}$  and  $J_{\rm vr}$  (erg cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> Hz<sup>-1</sup> sr<sup>-1</sup>) are the temperature and mean intensity of the viscous resistive disk, respectively. The frequency integrated, second moment of the transport equation plus the Eddington approximation give the equation for the mean intensity  $J_{\rm vr}$ ,

$$\frac{dJ_{\rm vr}}{d\Sigma} = -\frac{3\chi_{\rm R}}{4\pi}F_{\rm vr},\tag{35}$$

where  $\chi_{\rm R}$  is the Rosseland mean opacity. From the two-stream approximation, the boundary condition is known at the upper boundary

$$J_{\rm vr}(\Sigma_{\varpi}/2) = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4\pi} \frac{F_{\rm vr,\varpi}}{2},\tag{36}$$

where  $\Sigma_{\varpi}/2$  is half the total surface density at the disk surface  $z_{\infty}$ , and  $F_{\text{vr},\varpi}/2$  is the flux that exits from that surface.

Equations (34) and (35) can be solved for the viscous resistive disk temperature  $T_{\rm vr}$  and mean intensity  $J_{\rm vr}$ .

# A.2. Irradiated Passive Disk

Consider a passive disk irradiated by the central star. We follow D'Alessio et al. (1998) who assumed that the radiation field is separated into two components: the "stellar" and the "disk," where the external irradiation is characterized by wavelengths that are different from those of the local disk radiation field, as first proposed by Strittmatter (1974) in the case of irradiation by X-rays on the atmosphere of a close binary. Thus, the transfer equations are solved for the disk and the stellar irradiation we use a "true" absorption coefficient  $\kappa_P^s$  and a Planck average mean extinction coefficient  $\chi_P^s$ , where the Planck function is evaluated at the temperature of the central source,  $B(T_s)$ ; for the disk radiation, we use a "true" absorption coefficient  $\kappa_P$ , and a Rosseland mean opacity  $\chi_R$ , where the

Planck function is evaluated at the disk temperature, B(T). Here we will consider the radiation field of the central source at an effective irradiation temperature  $T_s = T_{\text{eff}}$ , that takes into account the total bolometric luminosity, stellar plus accretion luminosity.

The disk intercepts at the upper boundary a stellar flux,  $F_{irr}$ , at an angle,  $\mu_0$ , given by the shape of the disk surface as discussed in Appendix B. The stellar radiation optical depth from the height z to the disk surface  $z_{\infty}$  is

$$\tau_s = \int_{z}^{z_{\infty}} \rho \chi_{\rm P}^s dz = \int_{\Sigma}^{\Sigma_{\infty}/2} \chi_{\rm P}^s d\Sigma.$$
(37)

The scattered stellar radiation is characterized by a mean intensity and scattered flux given by

$$J_{\text{scatt}} = \sigma_s \frac{F_{\text{irr}}}{4\pi} \Biggl\{ \frac{3(1+\sqrt{3}\,\mu_0)}{[\sqrt{3}\,+\beta_s](1-\beta_s^2\,\mu_0^2)} e^{-\beta_s \tau_s} \\ -\frac{3\mu_0}{(1-\beta_s^2\,\mu_0^2)} e^{-\tau_s/\mu_0} \Biggr\},$$
(38)

$$F_{\text{scatt}} = \sigma_s F_{\text{irr}} \left\{ -\frac{\beta_s (1 + \sqrt{3} \,\mu_0)}{[\sqrt{3} + \beta_s] (1 - \beta_s^2 \,\mu_0^2)} e^{-\beta_s \tau_s} + \frac{1}{(1 - \beta_s^2 \,\mu_0^2)} e^{-\tau_s / \mu_0} \right\},$$
(39)

where the albedo is  $\sigma_s = (\chi_P^s - \kappa_P^s)/\chi_P^s$ , the fractional absorption is

$$a_s = \kappa_{\rm P}^s / \chi_{\rm P}^s, \tag{40}$$

and  $\beta_s = \sqrt{3a_s}$ .

For a passive irradiated disk, the zero flux condition in the vertical direction gives the reprocessed flux,

$$F_{\rm rp} = F_{\rm irr} e^{-\tau_s/\mu_0} - F_{\rm scatt},$$
  
=  $F_{\rm irr} a_s [(1 + C_1) e^{-\tau_s/\mu_0} + C_2 e^{-\beta_s \tau_s}],$  (41)

where  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are given by

$$C_{1} = -\frac{3\sigma_{s}\mu_{0}^{2}}{1 - \beta_{s}^{2}\mu_{0}^{2}} \text{ and}$$

$$C_{2} = \frac{3\sigma_{s}(1 + \sqrt{3}\mu_{0})}{\beta_{s}(\sqrt{3} + \beta_{s})(1 - \beta_{s}^{2}\mu_{0}^{2})}.$$
(42)

The frequency integrated first moment of the disk radiation transfer equation gives

$$\frac{\sigma T_{\rm rp}^4}{\pi} = J_{\rm rp} + \frac{1}{4\pi\kappa_{\rm P}} \frac{dF_{\rm rp}}{d\Sigma} = J_{\rm rp} - \frac{\chi_{\rm P}^s}{4\pi\kappa_{\rm P}} \frac{dF_{\rm rp}}{d\tau_s},\tag{43}$$

where  $J_{\rm rp}$  is the mean intensity (erg cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> Hz<sup>-1</sup> sr<sup>-1</sup>) of the disk reprocessed radiation. To obtain the last equality on the rhs, which is useful for computational purposes (see Equation (41)), we substituted  $d\tau_s = -\chi_p^s d\Sigma$ .

Also, from frequency integrated, second moment of the disk radiation transfer equation and the Eddington approximation, one gets an equation for the mean intensity,  $J_{rp}$ ,

$$\frac{dJ_{\rm rp}}{d\Sigma} = -\frac{3}{4\pi} \chi_{\rm R} F_{\rm rp}.$$
(44)



Figure 8. Representation of a flared disk. The disk surface and midplane are shown as dashed lines. The stellar surface is plotted as a dotted-dashed line and the red solid line indicates the surface visible from point *P*.

The boundary condition is obtained from the two-stream approximation,

$$J_{\rm rp}(\Sigma_{\varpi}/2) = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4\pi} F_{\rm rp}(\tau_s = 0) = \frac{\sqrt{3}F_{\rm irr}a_s}{4\pi}(1 + C_1 + C_2).$$
(45)

Finally, Equations (43) and (44) can be solved for the disk temperature  $T_{\rm rp}$  and mean intensity  $J_{\rm rp}$  of the reprocessed stellar radiation in the disk.

# APPENDIX B DISK IRRADIATION

The calculation of the stellar irradiation on the disk surface follows the treatment of Kenyon & Hartmann (1987, hereafter HK87). A cartoon of the star plus disk system is presented in the Figure 8, and uses the same notation as in HK87 when possible. We assume that the disk is truncated at an internal radius  $\varpi_{in}$ , at which point the dust sublimates  $(T \sim 1400 \text{ K})$ . The irradiation surface  $z_{irr}(\varpi)$  is obtained numerically, integrating the optical depth  $\tau_*$  starting from rays originating at the star center up to the point  $\tau_* = 1$ , (i.e., where the stellar radiation is absorbed).

Once the irradiation surface is determined, the stellar flux at each point on this surface  $P = [\varpi_0, z_{irr}(\varpi_0)]$  must be obtained. We call the line connecting P with the center of the star the "symmetry line," as indicated in Figure 8. This line has a length d. The radiation received on P is calculated integrating over concentric annuli on the stellar surface with angular radius  $\phi$ centered around the symmetry line, and angular length  $\theta$ measured on the plane normal to the symmetry line,

$$F_{\rm irr}(P) = 2 \int_0^{\phi_{\rm max}} \int_0^{\theta_{\rm max}} I \sin \phi \, \boldsymbol{s} \cdot (-\hat{n}) \, d\theta \, d\phi, \qquad (46)$$

where  $I = L_c/4\pi^2 R_*^2$  is the specific intensity from the central source with units {erg cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> str<sup>-1</sup>}, and  $L_c$  is the central source luminosity (accretion plus stellar) and  $R_*$  is the stellar radius. The unit vector  $\hat{n}$ , normal to the irradiation surface, is

$$\hat{n} = \frac{-z_{\rm irr}(\varpi_0)'\hat{\varpi} + \hat{z}}{\left[1 + \left[z_{\rm irr}(\varpi_0)'\right]^2\right]^{1/2}}\Big|_{\rm P},\tag{47}$$

where  $z_{irr}(\varpi)' = dz_{irr}(\varpi)/d\varpi$ , and  $\hat{\varpi}$  and  $\hat{z}$  are the unit vectors in the radial and vertical directions, respectively. The vector *s* from each annulus on the star to the point *P* is given by

$$s = \left[\frac{\overline{\omega}_{0}}{d}\cos\phi + \frac{z_{\rm irr}(\overline{\omega}_{0})}{d}\sin\phi\cos\theta\right] \\ \times \hat{\varpi} + \sin\phi\sin\theta(\hat{z}\times\hat{\varpi}) \\ + \left[\frac{z_{\rm irr}(\overline{\omega}_{0})}{d}\cos\phi - \frac{\overline{\omega}_{0}}{d}\sin\phi\cos\theta\right]\hat{z}.$$
(48)

Then, the irradiation flux given by Equation (46) can be written as

$$F_{\rm irr}(P) = 2 \int_0^{\phi_{\rm max}} \sin \phi \, d\phi$$
$$\times \int_0^{\theta_{\rm max}} I \frac{A_1 \sin \phi \cos \theta + A_2 \cos \phi}{A_3} \, d\theta, \qquad (49)$$

where

$$A_{1} = \varpi_{0} + z_{irr}(\varpi_{0})z_{irr}(\varpi_{0})',$$

$$A_{2} = \varpi_{0}z_{irr}(\varpi_{0})' - z_{irr}(\varpi_{0}),$$

$$A_{3} = d\left(1 + [z_{irr}(\varpi_{0})']^{2}\right)^{1/2}.$$
(50)

The maximum value

$$\phi_{\max} = \sin^{-1} \left( \frac{R_*}{d} \right),\tag{51}$$

gives the stellar angular size seen from *P*. The upper limit  $\theta_{max}$  determines which part of each annulus is visible. To calculate this limit, one needs to determine if the inner disk hides part of the star. Consider the lowest line of sight from *P* to the star that has the equation

$$z_{\text{lowest}} = (\varpi - \varpi_{\text{null}}) \tan(\alpha_0), \qquad (52)$$

where the angle with respect to the midplane is

$$\alpha_0 = \arctan\left(\frac{z_{\rm irr}(\varpi_0) - z_{\rm irr}(\varpi_{\rm in})}{\varpi_0 - \varpi_{\rm in}}\right),\tag{53}$$

and  $\varpi_{null}$  is the radius at which this line of sight intersects the midplane,

$$\varpi_{\text{null}} = \varpi_{\text{in}} - \frac{z_{\text{irr}}(\varpi_{\text{in}})}{\tan(\alpha_0)}.$$
(54)

On the other hand, the equation of the surface of the star is

$$z_* = \pm \sqrt{R_*^2 - \varpi^2},$$
 (55)

where the sign is positive (negative) above (below) the midplane. The intersection radius  $\varpi_{inter}$  is given by  $z_{\text{lowest}} = z_*$ , which leads to a second degree equation for  $\varpi_{\text{inter}}$ ,

$$[\tan(\alpha_0)^2 + 1]\varpi_{\text{inter}}^2 - 2\varpi_{\text{null}}\tan(\alpha_0)^2\varpi_{\text{inter}} + \varpi_{\text{null}}^2\tan(\alpha_0)^2 - R_*^2 = 0.$$
(56)

When this equation has no real solutions, it means that the lowest line of sight does not intersect the stellar surface. If  $\varpi_{\text{null}} > R_*$ , which is the most common case, the whole stellar surface is visible from P, and  $\theta_{max} = \pi$ . Otherwise, the star is hidden by the inner disk and  $\theta_{max} = 0$ . When Equation (56) has two real solutions, it corresponds to the line  $z_{lowest}$  intersecting the stellar surface twice. One needs to consider only the larger value of  $\varpi_{inter}$ , closest to *P*.

Let  $\alpha'$  be the angle between the symmetry line and the disk midplane, given by

$$\alpha' = \arctan\left(\frac{z_{\rm irr}(\varpi_0)}{\varpi_0}\right). \tag{57}$$

Also, let  $\alpha''$  be the angle measured between the midplane and the lowest line of sight

$$\alpha'' = \begin{cases} \arccos(\frac{\overline{\omega}_{\text{inter}}}{R_*}), & \overline{\omega}_{\text{null}} > R_*, \\ -\arccos(\frac{\overline{\omega}_{\text{inter}}}{R_*}), & \overline{\omega}_{\text{null}} < R_*. \end{cases}$$
(58)

In the first case, the intersection occurs in the lower stellar hemisphere; in the second case, it occurs in the upper hemisphere. The total angle  $\alpha$  is given by

$$\alpha = \alpha' + \alpha'$$
  
=  $\arctan\left(\frac{z_{\rm irr}(\varpi)}{\varpi}\right) \pm \arccos\left(\frac{\varpi_{\rm inter}}{R_*}\right).$  (59)

Now, given the annulus observed from *P* with angular size  $\phi$ , let  $\beta$  be the angular radius measured from the star center

$$\beta = \arcsin\frac{c\sin\phi}{R_*},\tag{60}$$

where the length of the line connecting P and the annulus is  $c = d \cos \phi - \sqrt{R_*^2 - d^2 \sin^2 \phi}$ .

Finally, given the angles  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , the upper limit  $\theta_{max}$  is

$$\theta_{\max} = \begin{cases} \pi; & \alpha < \beta, \\ \pi - \arccos\left[\frac{\sin(\alpha)}{\sin(\beta)}\right]; & \alpha \in [-\beta, \beta], \\ 0; & \alpha < -\beta. \end{cases}$$
(61)

In the first case,  $\theta_{\text{max}} = \pi$  means that all the annulus of angular radius  $\phi$  is seen from P. In the second case, a part of the star is hidden by the disk. In the last case,  $\theta_{max} = 0$  means all the annulus is hidden.

### REFERENCES

Adams, F. C., Cai, M. J., & Lizano, S. 2009, ApJL, 702, L182

- Andrews, S. M., Wilner, D. J., Hughes, A. M., Qi, C., & Dullemond, C. P. 2009, ApJ, 700, 1502
- Bai, X.-N. 2015, ApJ, 798, 84
- Bai, X.-N., & Stone, J. M. 2013a, ApJ, 767, 30
- Bai, X.-N., & Stone, J. M. 2013b, ApJ, 769, 76
- Balbus, S. A., & Hawley, J. F. 1991, ApJ, 376, 214
- Balbus, S. A., & Hawley, J. F. 1998, RvMP, 70, 1
- Bell, C. P. M., Naylor, T., Mayne, N. J., Jeffries, R. D., & Littlefair, S. P. 2013, **S**, 434, 806
- Birnstiel, T., Ricci, L., Trotta, F., et al. 2010, A&A, 516, L14
- Blaes, O. M., & Balbus, S. A. 1994, ApJ, 421, 163
- Boehler, Y., Dutrey, A., Guilloteau, S., & Piétu, V. 2013, MNRAS, 431, 1573
- Brauer, F., Dullemond, C. P., Johansen, A., et al. 2007, A&A, 469, 1169
- Calvet, N., Patino, A., Magris, G. C., & D'Alessio, P. 1991, ApJ, 380, 617
- Chiang, E. I., & Goldreich, P. 1997, ApJ, 490, 368
- Cleeves, L. I., Adams, F. C., & Bergin, E. A. 2013, ApJ, 772, 5
- D'Alessio, P., Calvet, N., & Hartmann, L. 1997, ApJ, 474, 397
- D'Alessio, P., Calvet, N., Hartmann, L., Franco-Hernández, R., & Servín, H. 2006. ApJ. 638. 314
- D'Alessio, P., Cantó, J., Calvet, N., & Lizano, S. 1998, ApJ, 500, 411
- Dubrulle, B., & Knobloch, E. 1993, A&A, 274, 667
- Font, A. S., McCarthy, I. G., Johnstone, D., & Ballantyne, D. R. 2004, ApJ, 607, 890
- Friedjung, M. 1985, A&A, 146, 366
- Fromang, S., Latter, H., Lesur, G., & Ogilvie, G. I. 2013, A&A, 552, A71
- Fromang, S., & Stone, J. M. 2009, A&A, 507, 19
- Galli, D., Lizano, S., Shu, F. H., & Allen, A. 2006, ApJ, 647, 374 Gammie, C. F. 1996, ApJ, 457, 355
- Girart, J. M., Rao, R., & Marrone, D. P. 2006, Sci, 313, 812
- Glassgold, A. E., Galli, D., & Padovani, M. 2012, ApJ, 756, 157
- Gorti, U., Dullemond, C. P., & Hollenbach, D. 2009, ApJ, 705, 1237
- Gorti, U., & Hollenbach, D. 2009, ApJ, 690, 1539
- Gräfe, C., Wolf, S., Guilloteau, S., et al. 2013, A&A, 553, A69
- Gressel, O., Turner, N. J., Nelson, R. P., & McNally, C. P. 2015, ApJ, 801, 84 Guan, X., & Gammie, C. F. 2009, ApJ, 697, 1901
- Guilet, J., & Ogilvie, G. I. 2013, MNRAS, 430, 822 Guilet, J., & Ogilvie, G. I. 2014, MNRAS, 441, 852
- Guilloteau, S., Dutrey, A., Piétu, V., & Boehler, Y. 2011, A&A, 529, A105
- Haisch, K. E., Jr., Lada, E. A., & Lada, C. J. 2001, ApJL, 553, L153
- Hawley, J. F., & Balbus, S. A. 1991, ApJ, 376, 223
- Hennebelle, P., & Ciardi, A. 2009, A&A, 506, L29
- Hernández, J., Hartmann, L., Megeath, T., et al. 2007, ApJ, 662, 1067
- Hull, C. L. H., Plambeck, R. L., Bolatto, A. D., et al. 2013, ApJ, 768, 159
- Igea, J., & Glassgold, A. E. 1999, ApJ, 518, 848
- Joos, M., Hennebelle, P., & Ciardi, A. 2012, A&A, 543, A128
- Kenyon, S. J., & Hartmann, L. 1987, ApJ, 323, 714
- Kim, W.-T., & Ostriker, E. C. 2000, ApJ, 540, 372
- Lesur, G., Kunz, M. W., & Fromang, S. 2014, A&A, 566, A56
- Lesur, G., & Longaretti, P.-Y. 2009, A&A, 504, 309
- Li, Z.-Y. 1995, ApJ, 444, 848
- Li, Z.-Y., Krasnopolsky, R., & Shang, H. 2011, ApJ, 738, 180
- Li, Z.-Y., Krasnopolsky, R., & Shang, H. 2013, ApJ, 774, 82
- Li, Z.-Y., Krasnopolsky, R., Shang, H., & Zhao, B. 2014, ApJ, 793, 130
- Lizano, S., & Galli, D. 2015, in Magnetic Fields in Diffuse Media, ed. A. Lazarian, E. M. de Gouveia Dal Pino, & C. Melioli (Astrophys. Space Sci. Lib. 407; Berlin: Springer), 459
- Lizano, S., Galli, D., Cai, M. J., & Adams, F. C. 2010, ApJ, 724, 1561
- Lubow, S. H., Papaloizou, J. C. B., & Pringle, J. E. 1994, MNRAS, 267, 235 Lubow, S. H., & Pringle, J. E. 2010, MNRAS, 402, L6
- Machida, M. N., Inutsuka, S.-I., & Matsumoto, T. 2011, PASJ, 63, 555
- Machida, M. N., Inutsuka, S.-i., & Matsumoto, T. 2014, MNRAS, 438, 2278
- Mihalas, D. 1978, Stellar Atmospheres (San Francisco, CA: Freeman) Neufeld, D. A., & Hollenbach, D. J. 1994, ApJ, 428, 170
- Ogilvie, G. I. 1998, MNRAS, 297, 291
- Owen, J. E., Clarke, C. J., & Ercolano, B. 2012, MNRAS, 422, 1880
- Padovani, M., Galli, D., & Glassgold, A. E. 2009, A&A, 501, 619
- Pérez, L. M., Carpenter, J. M., Chandler, C. J., et al. 2012, ApJL, 760, L17 Pérez, L. M., Chandler, C. J., Isella, A., et al. 2015, ApJ, 813, 41
- Pessah, M. E., & Psaltis, D. 2005, ApJ, 628, 879

- Pollack, J. B., Hollenbach, D., Beckwith, S., et al. 1994, ApJ, 421, 615
- Pinte, C., Padgett, D. L., Ménard, F., et al. 2008, A&A, 489, 633
- Rao, R., Girart, J. M., Lai, S.-P., & Marrone, D. P. 2014, ApJL, 780, L6
- Ricci, L., Testi, L., Natta, A., et al. 2010, A&A, 512, A15
- Santos-Lima, R., de Gouveia Dal Pino, E. M., & Lazarian, A. 2013, MNRAS, 429, 3371
- Segura-Cox, D. M., Looney, L. W., Stephens, I. W., et al. 2015, ApJL, 798, L2 Seifried, D., Banerjee, R., Pudritz, R. E., & Klessen, R. S. 2012, MNRAS,
- 423, L40 Shu, F. H., Galli, D., Lizano, S., & Cai, M. 2006, ApJ, 647, 382
- Shu, F. H., Galli, D., Lizano, S., Glassgold, A. E., & Diamond, P. H. 2007, ApJ, 665, 535 (S07)
- Shu, F. H., Lizano, S., Galli, D., Cai, M. J., & Mohanty, S. 2008, ApJL, 682, L121
- Sicilia-Aguilar, A., Hartmann, L., Calvet, N., et al. 2006, ApJ, 638, 897

- Simon, J. B., Lesur, G., Kunz, M. W., & Armitage, P. J. 2015, MNRAS, 454, 1117
- Stephens, I. W., Looney, L. W., Kwon, W., et al. 2014, Natur, 514, 597
- Strittmatter, P. A. 1974, A&A, 32, 7
- Strom, K. M., Strom, S. E., Edwards, S., Cabrit, S., & Skrutskie, M. F. 1989, AJ, 97, 1451
- Suzuki, T. K., & Inutsuka, S.-i 2009, ApJL, 691, L49
- Suzuki, T. K., Muto, T., & Inutsuka, S.-i. 2010, ApJ, 718, 1289
- Tang, Y.-W., Ho, P. T. P., Koch, P. M., et al. 2009, ApJ, 700, 251
- Umebayashi, T., & Nakano, T. 1981, PASJ, 33, 617
- Umebayashi, T., & Nakano, T. 2009, ApJ, 690, 69
- van Ballegooijen, A. A. 1989, in Proc. European Physical Society Study Conf., Accretion Disks and Magnetic Fields in Astrophysics, ed. G. Belvedere (Astrophys. Space Sci. Lib. 156; Dordrecht: Kluwer), 99
- Williams, J. P., & Cieza, L. A. 2011, ARA&A, 49, 67